

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE K. MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 25232 OF 2016



Between:

N. Ramesh, S/o. N. Abtiraham Aged about 38 years, Occ: Seasonal Employee in ILTD Company R/o. Ambetkar Nagar, Thotavaripalem, Cheerla Mandal, Prakasam District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by Principal Secretary, (Home) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad,
2. The Superintendent of Police, Prakasam District at Ongole, Prakasam District
3. The Station House Officer, Vulvapadu Police Station, Chirala Mandal, Prakasam District.
4. Rajesh, S/o. not known to the Petitioner, Occ: Sub- Inspector of Police, Vulavapadu Police Station, Prakasam District.
5. Savaram Venkata Rao, S/o. not known, Occ: Rice Marchant, Karedu Village, Vulavapadu Mandal, Prakasam District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF Mandamus to declare the action of the Respondents No. 3 & 4 illegally detained the Petitioner obtained signatures on the blank promissory notes and thereby threatening the Petitioner to pay a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- is illegal, arbitrary violation of Art. 21 of Constitution of India and in violation of Provisions under Cr.P.C and consequently direct the

Respondent No. 4 to pay damages to the Petitioner for illegally detained him on 27-6-2016 and further direct the Respondent No. 3 & 4 to not call the Petitioner to their police Station except in accordance with Laws.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 31152 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No. 3 & 4 not to call the Petitioner to their Police Station except in accordance with Law pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI T. D. PANI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 4 & 5: ----

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3310]

THURSDAY ,THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 25232/2016

Between:

N Ramesh

...PETITIONER

AND

Prl Secy Home Dept Hyd 4 Others and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.T D PANI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.5739/NIMMAGADDA SATYANARAYANA

The Court made the following Order:

Mr.A.Sreeram, learned counsel representing Mr.T.D.Pani Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the present Writ Petition does not survive, and it has become infructuous.

2. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for the official respondents is present.

3. Recording the above submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as Infructuous.

There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- G. HELA NAIDU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

NB
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri T. D. Pani Kumar, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri Nimmagadda Satyanarayana, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Three C.D. Copies.

Cnr

HIGH COURT

DATED:18/04/2024

ORDER

WP.No.25232 of 2016

**DISMISSING THE W.P. AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS**

