HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.35925 of 2022 PROCEEDING SHEET

S1. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
3	21.11.2022	RRR, J	Note
		Notice before admission.	
		Learned counsel for the petitioners is	
		permitted to take out personal notice to respondent	
		No.7 by RPAD and file proof of service by the next	
		date of hearing.	
		The complaint in the writ petition is that the	
		poramboke land situated along the K.C. Canal in	
		Sy.Nos.738 and 739 of Palempalli Village, Kadapa	
		Mandal are sought to be leased out, for a period of	
		30 years, and the same would result in blockage of	
		flow of water in the K.C Canal.	
		The petitioner, who is an agriculturist in the	
		area had approached this Court with the above	
		compliant on the ground that the flow of water to	
		his lands would be affected.	
		The learned Government Pleader for	
		Irrigation, on instructions, submits that the land is	
		being leased out only for setting up temporary	
		structures and there would be no affect on the	
		movement of water and the apprehensions of the	
		petitioners are misplaced.	
		The learned Government Pleader would also	
		submit that the existing encroachments have been	
		removed and there is every danger of the buffer	
		land being encroached again. In such	

circumstances, the authorities have deemed it appropriate to lease out the land as a measure of protection against other encroachments.

The boundaries along canal are left for the purpose of ensuring free flow of water and for using the said land as a buffer between the developed areas and the actual canal.

In the circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that leasing out the land adjoining a canal for setting up structures, even if they are temporary would definitely damage and affect the canal as well as the movement of water in the canal.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in various Judgments, has taken the view, including **Hinchlal Tiwari vs Kamala Devi and Ors.**, reported in (2001) 6 SCC 496. It is the bounden duty of the State to ensure that the land kept aside for water bodies are not used for any other purpose.

In the circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that such leasing out of a land is detrimental to the environment and to the irrigation facilities of the canal.

Accordingly, there shall be interim stay as prayed for.

RRR, J

RJS

3