IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVAT (SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION) FRIDAY THE THIRTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO
:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH WRIT PETITION NO: 32243 OF 2022

Between:

Smt. Jalajakshi, W/o P. Krishna Reddy, Aged about 50 yrs, R/o, H.No. 7-1-47/48, Sri Ramulavari Uttara MadaVeedi, Tirupathi, AP

Petitioner

AND

- 1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, (Revenue Dept) Secretariat, Amaravathi.
- 2. The Tahsildar, Rural Tirupathi, Tirupathi
- 3. The Secretary, Grampanchayat, Tirchanoor, Tirupathi

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in making attempts to interfere in the construction of commercial complex and threatening to demolish in survey no.303/3P-2 at Tiruchanaur, Tirupathi admeasuring 305.325 Sq Yds. situated at Tiruchanaur, Tirupathi District in case of further construction as arbitrary, illegal, violation of fundamental rights under 14, 19, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and consequentially direct the 2nd respondent not to interfere with the construction of commercial complex of the petitioner.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents herein not to interfere with the petitioner's construction of her commercial complex in survey No.303/3P-2 at Tiruchanaur, Tirupathi admeasuring 305.325 Sq Yds. situated at Tiruchanaur, Tirupathi District, Pending disposal of WP 32243 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S N ANULA Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR REVENUE for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 , Sri V.Vinod K Reddy, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.3 and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

"In view of the documents filed along with the Writ Petition, clearly discloses the peaceful possession of the petitioner's subject property, there shall be an interim direction to the respondents not to dispossess and interfere with the possession of petitioner's subject property without following due process of law.

Post this case after four (4) weeks for filing the counter."

Sd/- B. CHITTI JOSEPH ASSISTANT/REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- The Principal Secretary, (Revenue Dept), State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Amaravathi.
- 2. The Tahsildar, Rural Tirupathi, Tirupathi
- 3. The Secretary, Grampanchayat, Tirchanoor, Tirupathi(1 to 3 by RPAD)
- 4. One CC to M/S . N ANULA Advocate [OPUC]
- 5. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
- 6. One CC to Sri V.Vinod K Reddy, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
- 7. One spare copy

RVK

HIGH COURT

DRJ

DATED:30/09/2022

NOTE: POST THIS CASE AFTER FOUR (4) WEEKS FOR FILING THE COUNTER

ORDER

WP.No.32243 of 2022

DIRECTION