

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.30066 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	15.09.2022	<p><u>RRR, J</u></p> <p>The case of the petitioner is that lease of the petitioner is being terminated on account of unpaid dues. The petitioner contends that there is ambiguity about the actual amount due, as there is a difference of almost Rs.2,00,000/- between the amounts being claimed by the 1st respondent and the rent due according to the petitioner.</p> <p>Sri T. Janardhan Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that if the said ambiguity is cleared the entire amount will be paid. He submits that the 1st respondent could not have initiated termination without having resolved the issue of quantum of rent payable.</p> <p>Smt. V. Uma Devi, undertakes to file vakalat for the 1st respondent and seeks time to obtain instructions.</p> <p>As it appears that the petitioner would be liable for a minimum sum of Rs.11,00,000/-, by any stretch, it would be appropriate to direct the 1st respondent not to evict the petitioner subject to the condition of the petitioner paying a sum of Rs.11,00,000/- within four (4) weeks from today.</p>	

		<p>Post on 22.09.2022 in motion list.</p> <p>Js.</p> <p><u>RRR, J</u></p>	
--	--	---	--