

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

WRIT PETITION No.25091 OF 2023

Between:-

J.Padmaja, W/o.J.Vidya Sagar

... Petitioner

and

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, and others

... Respondents

Counsel for petitioner : Mr.Ramakrishna K

Counsel for respondents: The G.P. for Revenue

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents. With their consent, the writ petition is being disposed of, at the stage of admission.

2. The writ petition is filed aggrieved by the inaction of the 3rd respondent in considering the petitioner's application dated 19.3.2021 for mutation of her name in the Revenue Records.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the petitioner's grandfather one B.Apparao was assigned the land of an extent of Ac.1.00 in Survey No.190/1C of Thimmapuram Village, Kakinada Rural Mandal and his name was recorded in the Revenue Records. He submits that after the death of petitioner's grandfather, the name of the petitioner's father one B.Nagaraju was recorded in the Revenue Records and Pattadar Pass Book and Title Deed (PPB/TDs) were issued in his favour. Learned counsel submits that after the death of petitioner's parents, being the only daughter, the petitioner made an application on 19.3.2021 to the 3rd respondent seeking mutation of her name in the Revenue Records in respect of the above extent of land. He submits that though more than 2½ years have elapsed, no action has been taken by the 3rd respondent and therefore the petitioner is constrained to approach this Court seeking the reliefs sought for.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner had not

made any application as per the procedure through online and as seen from Ex.P.1 dated 19.3.2021, it is only a representation made to the 3rd respondent and therefore, the same cannot be considered. He also submits that if the petitioner makes appropriate application through the concerned Grama Sachivalayam, necessary action would be initiated by the 3rd respondent.

5. In the light of the above submissions, this Court, instead of keeping the writ petition pending, deems it appropriate to dispose of the same, leaving it open to the petitioner to make online application seeking mutation of her name in the Revenue Records and issuance of the PPB/TDs in respect of the subject matter land. On receipt of such application, the 3rd respondent shall take necessary action, in accordance with the provisions of the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971, as amended by Act 15 of 2022 (published in the A.P. Gazette on 18.10.2022) and the relevant Rules as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of the application.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

NINALA JAYASURYA, J

September 25, 2023.

vasu