



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI

WRIT PETITION NO: 20695 OF 2024

Between:

Smt. K Radhamma, W/o. K Ramanjaneyulu, Aged 35 years, Occ. F.P.  
Shop Dealer of Shop.No.1201032 R/o. Door No. 1-261, OC Colony,  
Muradi Village, D. Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State Of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by the Principal Secretary, Civil Supplies Department, Secretariat Buildings At Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, Anantapur District, Anantapur
3. The Joint Collector, Anantapur District, Anantapur.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurg, Anantapur District.
5. The Tahsildar, D Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th and 5th respondents in not allotting the essential commodities to the petitioner in F.P shop (Shop. No. 1201032), Muradi Village, D. Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District without there being any suspension or cancellation of Authorization of the petitioner even though the authorization is valid and subsisting as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19(1) (g) of the Constitution of India and also violative of Andhra Pradesh State Targeted Public Distribution System (Control) Order 2018 and principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioners F.P. shop Dealership by supplying the essential commodities to the FP Shop. No. 1201032, of Muradi Village, D. Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2024**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as F.P. shop Dealer (Shop. No. 1201032) and to supply essential commodities to the petitioners fair price shop at Muradi Village, D. Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District, pending disposal of Writ Petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. K NARSI REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 to 5: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

APHC010408102024



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3311]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI

WRIT PETITION NO: 20695/2024

Between:

K Radhamma

...PETITIONER

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. K Narsi Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP for Civil Supplies

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is filed for the following relief:

...to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> respondents in not allotting the essential commodities to the petitioner in F.P shop (Shop No.1201032), Muradi Village, D. Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District without there being any suspension or cancellation of authorization of the petitioner even though the authorization is valid and subsisting as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g) of the Constitution of India and also violative of



Andhra Pradesh State Targeted Public Distribution System (Control) Order, 2018 and principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioner's F.P shop dealership by supplying the essential commodities to the FP Shop.No.1201032, of Muradi Village, D.Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District...

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that without passing any order of suspension or cancellation of authorization, the petitioner is not being provided essential commodities.

3. The learned Assistant Government Pleader submitted that the written instructions are not received. However, he stated that so long as the authorization is in force, the supply of commodities would not be stopped, but if authorization is suspended or cancelled, the petitioner would not be supplied any commodities.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent authorities to supply the commodities to the petitioner, subject to compliance of legal formalities, so long as the authorization is in force. However, this order does not preclude the authorities from taking any action as per law. There shall be no orders as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

Sd/- M. SRINIVAS  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Civil Supplies Department, Secretariat Buildings at Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, Anantapur District, Anantapur

3. The Joint Collector, Anantapur District, Anantapur.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurg, Anantapur District.
5. The Tahsildar, D Hirehal Mandal, Anantapur District
6. One CC to Sri. K Narsi Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP for Civil Supplies ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh.  
[OUT]

**8. Three CD Copies**

Sree

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:23/09/2024**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.20695 of 2024**



**DISPOSING OF THE W.P  
WITHOUT COSTS**