



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2494 OF 2022**

Between:

Nalam Rakesh, S/o Uma Maheswara Rao, aged about 38 years, R/o D.No. 5-21-56,
2/10, Bordipet, Guntur, Guntur District.

...Petitioner

AND

Sri Chekuri Keerthi, D/o not known, aged not known, The Guntur Municipal
Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Guntur, Guntur District.

...Respondent

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the
Contempt of courts Act 1971, above case through his Counsel SMT MARELLA
RADHA, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the
respondents herein for their violating of the orders in W.P.No.17240 of 2022,
dated 28.06.2022;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the
High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the order of High Court
dated.07.09.2022, made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SMT MARELLA
RADHA, Advocate for the Petitioner and of SRI MANOHAR REDDY MALLASANI
Advocate for the Respondent, made the following

ORDER:

The petitioner had approached this Court with the complaint that the
land of the petitioner situated in Sy.No.673/3 was being encroached by the
respondent-authorities, who were seeking to construct a park in the land of the
petitioner.

This Court by an order dated 28.06.2022 had directed the respondents
not to undertake any constructions in the land being claimed by the petitioner.

In the present Contempt Case, the petitioner contends that his land in
Sy.No.673/3 was encroached upon by the respondent-authorities, who
constructed a park in the land of the petitioner despite knowledge of the
orders of this Court.

In the counter affidavit filed by the respondents. It is stated that a
survey was conducted by the town surveyor and the town surveyor has
certified that the park was built in the land of the Corporation in Sy.No.673/2. A
report of the surveyor has also been placed before this Court. This report

shows that the land of the petitioner available in Sy.No.673/2 has also been identified. ✓

In the circumstances, it would be appropriate to direct the respondents to identify and show the land of the petitioners to the petitioner. It would be open for the petitioner or his representative to be present on 11.09.2023 at 10.30 a.m. On that day, the respondents or their representatives along with the surveyor shall identify the land of the petitioner in Sy.No.673/2.

Post on 05.10.2023 ✓

Sd/- M.RAMESH BABU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Sri Chekuri Keerthi, Commissioner, The Guntur Municipal Corporation, Guntur, Guntur District. (by RPAD) ✓
2. One CC to SRI. MARELLA RADHA Advocate [OPUC] ✓
3. One CC to SRI. MANOHAR REDDY MALLASANI Advocate [OPUC] ✓
4. One spare copy

PSR

HIGH COURT

RRR,J

DATED:05/09/2023

NOTE: LIST THE MATTER ON 05.10.2023.

ORDER

CC.No.2494 of 2022

DIRECTION

