

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI**MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A.No.237 of 2022****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	26.02.2024	<p><u>Dr. KMR,J</u></p> <p>Learned counsel for the appellant filed proof of service and he would submit that in C.C.Alavi Haji Vs. Palapetty Muhammed and another, (2007) 6 SCC 555 the Hon'ble Apex Court has held when a notice is sent by Registered Post and is returned with a postal endorsement as "refused" or "not available in the house" or "house locked" or "shop closed" or "addressee not in station", the service of notice against the party has to presumed.</p> <p>As per the above judgment, notice sent to Respondent No.4 is deemed to have been served as per law.</p> <p>It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that respondents No.3 is not necessary party as no claim is made against him in the appeal.</p> <p>Post the matter on 04.11.2024, for "final hearing".</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Dr. KMR,J</u></p> <p>KK</p>	



