HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI Main Case No. W.P.Nos.12524 of 2021 & batch matters.

PROCEEDING SHEET

SI.		ORDER	
No	DATE		
	15.09.2021	DEA'7	
		Heard learned counsel for the petitioners	
		and the learned Government Pleader for	
		Panchayat Raj and Sri N. Harinath, learned	
		Assistant Solicitor General appearing for the	
		Central Government and the learned Standing	
		Counsel appearing for the Gram Panchayat and	
		perused the Counter affidavit filed by the	
		respondent No.2.	
		Along with the Counter Affidavit, respondent	
		No.2 filed a Statement of Payment of Details of	
		MGNREGS as on 23.08.2021. As per the	
		Statement payments are made to the petitioners	
		in 448 cases.	
		Learned Government Pleader, basing on the	
		averments made in the Counter Affidavit, submits	
		that 100% payment was made to some of the	
		petitioners and 79% of the payment was made to	
		some of the petitioners. The reason for	
		withholding 21% of the amount payable to the	
		petitioners as stated in the affidavit filed by	
		respondent No.2 is due to the pendency of the	
		enquiry against some of the petitioners.	
		In fact, when this contention was made by	
		the learned counsel before this Court on	
		07.09.2021, this Court made it clear that the said	
		contention is not acceptable to this Court as the	

learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitting that no enquiry is pending against the petitioners as on date.

2

The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that no enquiry is pending against the petitioners as on date is supported by the contentions of the Government of India in the affidavit filed by it, in which it is stated that the enquiry got over in the month of October, 2020 (16.10.2020) and the State Government has accepted the Final Report and took a decision on 05.11.2020 to make the payments.

In view of the specific contention of the Government of India in its affidavit that it received information from the State Government that enquiry is completed in the month of October, 2020, now the contention of the respondent No.2 in his counter affidavit that 21% of the amount is withheld due to pendency of the enquiry against the petitioners is nothing but invention of the State Government Officers to drag on the payments payable to the petitioners for which they are legitimately entitled.

In the opinion of this Court, the tendency and tactics of the State Government Officers to drag on the issue is unjustified.

Under these circumstances, this Court is not having any other option except to direct the Chief Secretary of the State Government to appear before this Court on the next date of hearing and to explain the reasons why the State Government Officers, particularly Officers of the Panchayat Raj Department, are submitting false information before this Court, which is contrary to the record

3

and the facts, and to enable this Court to proceed further against the concerned Officers for placing incorrect and misleading information before this Court.

The Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj submits that the contention of respondent No.10 in its Counter Affidavit that as per the information shared by the State Government, the enquiry is completed by the month of October, 2020 is not correct information. In view of the submission of the learned Government Pleader, respondent No.10 is directed to file an Additional Affidavit stating the facts along with the information shared by it from the State Government about the completion of the enquiry by October, 2020 as contended in its Counter Affidavit, dt. 17.08.2021.

Post on 24.09.2021.

DEV,J

eha