

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

SATURDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT



THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO
AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 6273 OF 2015

Between:

1. D.Nagaraju, S/o. Ramachandra Rao, Aged about 35 years, Occ: Attender, Addl.Senior Civil Judge's Court, (Fast Track Court) Anakapalle Anakapalle, Visakhapatnam.
2. P.Tirumala Rao, S/o. Krishna Rao, aged about 44 years, Occ:Attender, IV Addl.Senior Civil Judge's Court (Fast Track Court) , Visakhapatnam. R/o. Visakhapatnam
3. P.S.Govind, S/o. Polayya, aged about 43 years Attender, VIII Addl. District Judge's Court, (Fast Track Court) at Gajuwaka, Visakhapatnam, R/o. Visakhapatnam
4. Pamula Janardhana, S/o. Muthyatu Steno, aged about 42 years V Addl.Senior Civil Judge's Court (Fast Track Court), Visakhapatnam. R/o. Visakhapatnam.
5. Gara Ramadevi, W/o. M.M.V.Tirumala Rao, aged about 41 years, Attender, Judicial First Class Magistrate, Araku, Visakhapatnam IInd Addl.Spl.Judge's Court fn CBI cases, Visakhapatnam
6. Cheekati Rajesh, S/o. Ch.Veera Raju aged about 34 years Typist-Cum-Assistant District Legal Services Authority, Visakhapatnam.

7. Alladi Suvarchala, d/o Dharma Naidu, Aged 39yrs, Office Sub-ordinate of Permanent Lok Adalat, PLSA, Vishakapatnam, R/o Vishakapatnam.
8. Smt.Gulivindala Lakshmi, w/o G.Venkata Rao, Aged about 42yrs, Office Sub-ordinate of Permanent Lok Adalat, PLSA, Vishakapatnam, R/o Vishakapatnam

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Law Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad, Rep.by its Law Secretary,
2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, General Administration Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad, Rep.by its Principal Secretary,
3. High Court at Hyderabad, For the State of Telengana a Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Registrar(General)
4. The District and Sessions Court, Vishakapatnam, Vishakapatnam.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, or Direction, more particularly one in nature of Writ of MANDAMUS by declaring the inaction of the 3rd respondent in accepting/considering the applications of the petitioners submitted in pursuance of the notification No.1/2014, dt.17.11.2014, by considering the Judgement of this Hon'ble court rendered in W.P.No.9375 of 2013 dt.30.1.2014, W.P.No;9099 of 2013, dt.29-1-2015 and the G.O.Ms.No:295, General Administration (Services - A) Department dt.23.9.2014 vide which the Government has increased the upper age limit from 34-40 years for the ensuing recruitments to A.P.Public Service Commission and Recruiting Agencies from 23-9-2014 to 30.09.2016, as illegal, arbitrary, and against

principles of law and consequently to direct the 4th respondent to accept/consider the petitioners applications submitted in pursuance of the notification No.1/2014, dt.17.11.2014 by declaring that they are also eligible for relaxation of higher age limit from 34 to 40 yrs and to give preference over and above the other eligible candidates by taking their experience in the court since 2003 onwards on contractual basis, into consideration, in the interest of justice.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 8345 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To direct the 4th respondent to interview the petitioners along with the persons, who are being interviewed in pursuance of the Notification No:1/2014, dt.17-11-2014 by accepting their applications filed in view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court rendered in W.P.No.9375 of 2013 dt.30.1.2014, W.P.No:9099 of 2013, dt.29-1-2015/ G.O.Ms.No.295 General Administration (Services - A) Department dt.23.9.2014, in the interest of Justice, Pending Disposal of the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): SRI. B SUDHAKAR REDDY AND NEERAJA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HOME (AP)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (AP)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: SRI N V SUMANTH

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3488]

SATURDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 6273/2015

Between:

D.nagaraju, and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.B SUDHAKAR REDDY AND NEERAJA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (AP)

3.

4.N V SUMANTH

The Court made the following order: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice R Raghunandan Rao)*

Sri B. Sudhakar Reddy and Smt. Neeraja Reddy, learned counsels appearing for the petitioners, submit that the Writ Petition has become infructuous and nothing further survives to adjudicate in the matter.

Accordingly, the present Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications pending, if any shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
Pr SK
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri. B Sudhakar Reddy And Neeraja Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP For Home (AP) ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri. N V Sumanth, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP For General Administration (AP) ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
5. **THREE CD Copies**


AL

HIGH COURT

DATED:19/10/2024

ORDER

WP.No.6273 of 2015



DISMISSING THE WP AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS