



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

**FRIDAY ,THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 14511/2024

Between:

M Venkateswara Rao

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.PONNADA SREE VYAS

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR SERVICES III

2.SRINIVASA RAO BODDULURI

The Court made the following:

Heard Sri K.S.Murthy, learned Senior Counsel assisting by Sri P.Sree Vyas, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri B.Srinivasa Rao, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.4 & 5.

Assailing the letter *vide* Ref.No.BEC/PF/2024/315, dated 02.07.2024, the above writ petition is filed.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that respondent No.5 College is governed by AICTE regulations and hence, it is bound by the statutory regime. He also would submit that writ petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is maintainable.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.4 & 5 would submit that in respect of contract of personal service, the writ petition is not maintainable. Learned counsel relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal Nos.7256 & 7259 of 2024 dated 09.07.2024.

Whether the writ petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is maintainable or not, requires examination.

Learned counsel appearing on either side seeks time to prepare compilation of judgments in tabular form to file before this Court.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent authorities shall not relieve the petitioner, till further orders.

List this matter along with W.P.No.15177 of 2024.

TVN

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J