## **HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI**

## MAIN CASE NO: CONTEMPT CASE No.3221 OF 2023 PROCEEDING SHEET

SI. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
05	06.10.2023	RC, J:	
		The learned counsel for the 1 <sup>st</sup>	
		respondent submitted that there is no	
		disobedience on the part of the 1st	
		respondent in complying with the orders of	
		this Court. The 1 <sup>st</sup> respondent did all the	
		things that are in her administrative control	
		and now the issue is pending with finance	
		department, over which the petitioner has no	
		control. Therefore, since there is no	
		disobedience on the part of the 1st	
		respondent, she may kindly be exonerated.	
		The 1 <sup>st</sup> respondent filed counter-	
		affidavit contending that subsequent to the	
		orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.3449	
		of 2022, the petitioner requested the 3 <sup>rd</sup>	
		respondent- VC & MD, APSCCFC Ltd.,	
		Tadepalli for compliance of the orders,	
		through letter dated 08.05.2023. Pursuantly,	
		the 3 <sup>rd</sup> respondent sent due payment	
		proposal to this respondent vide	
		Rc.No.LC/940/APSC/2023, dated 25.05.2023	
		and this respondent sent the due payment	
		proposal to the Finance (FMU.WEL.I)	
		Department with a request to release the	
		amount for clearance of pending bills	contd

www.ecourtsindia.com

payable to the petitioner. The issue is pending with the Finance Department, over which this respondent will not have any control. The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, in obedience to the orders of this Court, had done her duty within her control. Thus, there is no disobedience to the orders of this Court as alleged by the petitioner. Hence, prayed to exonerate her from this Contempt Case.

2

The material filed along with the counter filed by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent makes it clear that the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent has forwarded the due payment proposal sent to her by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and now the issue is pending with the Finance Department, the functions of which are beyond the control of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent. In view of the same, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent is exonerated for the time being leaving it open to the petitioner to file memo seeking to proceed against her in future, if necessity arises.

Considering the contents of the counter-affidavit filed by the  $1^{st}$  respondent, the  $1^{st}$  respondent is exonerated from this Contempt Case for the time being.

Admit against respondent Nos.2 to 4. Post on 10.11.2023.

RC, J

**RR** 

3