

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1062 OF 2020



Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondent herein, for wilfully committing contempt of Court under Section 10 and 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, not obeying the final orders of this Hon'ble Court dated 24.09.2019 in Writ Petition No. 14410 of 2019 in accordance with law to cause harm and loss to petitioners.

**Between:**

1. V. Padmaja, W/o. K. Ravi Kumar, aged 35 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Vamanaguntapalem, Amarthalur Mandal, Guntur District.
2. A. Sunitha, W/o. Srinivasa Reddy, aged 43 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Chagantivaripalem, Muppala Mandal, Guntur District.
3. Bellomkonda Jyothi, W/o. Ravindranath, aged 42 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Narenepadu, Muppala Mandal, Guntur District.
4. P. Satyanarayana, S/o. Hanumantha Rao, aged 42 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Vipparla, Rompicherla Mandal, Guntur District.
5. V. Ramesh Babu, S/o. Sivaiah, aged 31 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Jonnalagadda, Narasaraopeta Mandal, Guntur District.
6. V. Uma Devi, W/o. V. Bhaskar Rao, aged 44 years, Occ: Librarian, Village Library, Mulpur, Amarthalur Mandal, Guntur District.
7. Ch. Guruvamma, W/o. V. Venugopal, aged 47 years, Village Library, Gangavaram, Gurajala Mandal, Guntur District.
8. Ch. L. Chaitanya, S/o. Narasimha Rao, aged 43 years, Occ: Librarian,

- Village Library, Rayavaram,  
Macherla Mandal, Guntur District.
9. S. Narasimha Rao, S/o. Kondaiah,  
aged 40 years, Occ: Librarian,  
Village Library, Karlakunta,  
Rompicharla Mandal, Guntur District.
  10. Gumma Subbaiah, S/o. Ramaiah,  
aged 48 years, Occ: Librarian,  
Village Library, Gandhiganumala,  
Bollapally Mandal, Guntur District.
  11. Kuppam Vijaya Kumar, S/o. Sukumar,  
aged 51 years, Occ: Librarian,  
Village Library, Domalagundam,  
Bollapally Mandal, Guntur District.
  12. Ch. Mattaiah, S/o. Venkateswarlu,  
aged 39 years, Occ: Librarian,  
Village Library, Remedicharla,  
Bollapally Mandal, Guntur District.
  13. Rachakula Raghavulu, S/o. Panduranga Rao,  
aged 33 years, Occ: Librarian,  
Branch Library, Korukollu,  
Kalidindi Mandal, Krishna District.

...Petitioners/Petitioner in W.P

AND

1. Sri Buridi Rajasekhar, IAS,  
Occ: Principal Secretary to Government,  
Education (PE LIB) Department,  
Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. Sri V. N. Mastanaiah,  
Occ: Director of Public Libraries,  
Mangalagiri, Guntur District, A.P.

...Respondents/Respondents 1 & 3 in W.P

**IA NO: 1 OF 2021**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to delete the name of the petitioner herein/2nd respondent in Cc 1062/2020 in W. P. No. 14410/2020.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI. CH GANESH**  
**Counsel for the Respondents: SRI. V K NAIDU**  
**The Court made the following Judgment:**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

CONTEMPT CASE No.1062 of 2020

JUDGMENT:-

1. No representation for the petitioners.
2. Sri Buridi Rajasekhar, Principal Secretary to Government, respondent No.1 is present
3. Sri V. N. Mastanaiah, Director of Public Libraries, respondent No.2 is present.
4. Heard Sri V. K. Naidu, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.
5. This Contempt Petition is filed by 13 petitioners, alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 24.09.2019 passed in W.P.No.14410 of 2019.
6. The relevant part of the order dated 24.09.2019 is reproduced as under:-

*"4. Hence, in view of the above circumstances, the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization in accordance with law, keeping in view the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Umadevi's** case (supra), subject to the petitioners submitting their appointment letters to respondent Nos.2 and 3.*

*5. The Writ Petition, with the above direction, is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs."*



7. In Para 10 of the affidavit in support of the Contempt Petition, it was *inter alia* submitted that pursuant to the order dated 24.09.2019, "the petitioners submitted appointment orders vide representation dated 10.01.2020, but there is no order passed till date by the respondents".

8. The Contempt Petition was filed in the month of September/October 2020.

9. In the Counter affidavit dated 28.12.2021 filed by the respondent No.1, in Para 4 thereof, it is submitted that the Director of Public Libraries, respondent No.2 has passed a speaking order issued vide proceedings Rc.No.64-B2/2015, dated 08.05.2020. The copy of the said order is also annexed to the counter affidavit.

10. As per the order the petitioner's representation for regularization was rejected.

11. An additional counter affidavit dated 04.04.2023 has also been filed by the respondent No.1, *inter alia* submitting that the respondent No.1 has also passed the order dated 31.03.2023, annexed to the said affidavit. The petitioners were found not eligible for regularization of their services and their representation was rejected.

12. The respondent No.2 has also filed the counter affidavit dated 04.04.2023 to the same effect that respondent No.2 passed the speaking order dated 08.05.2020. The petitioners were not eligible for regularization of their services in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Uma Devi vs. Karnataka State.

13. Any affidavit in rebuttal of the contents of the counter affidavits of the respondents is not on the record of the case.

14. In view of the aforesaid, the respondents have complied with the order dated 24.09.2019 passed by the writ court in W.P.No.14410 of 2019.

15. Accordingly, this Court does not consider it necessary to proceed further in the contempt matter.

16. Notices issued to the respondents are discharged. The Contempt Case is rejected.

17. The respondent Nos.1 and 2 having appeared personally before this Court today i.e. 01.05.2023, the personal bonds executed by them respectively, shall be released in their favour respectively.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- V DIWAKAR  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Sri Buridi Rajasekhar, IAS, Occ: Principal Secretary to Government, Education (PE LIB) Department, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. Sri V. N. Mastanaiah, Occ: Director of Public Libraries, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, A.P.
3. One CC to Sri. Ch Ganesh, Advocate [OPUC].
4. One CC to Sri. V. K. Naidu, Advocate [OPUC].
5. Two CD Copies

LRV

VNA

Sarath

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:01/05/2023**

**JUDGMENT**

**CC.No.1062 of 2020**

6 copies  
Yrs  
23/9/23



**REJECTING THE CONTEMPT CASE**