

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVA

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA WRIT PETITION NO: 23326 OF 2010

Between:

1. T.C.Sridhar Naidu, S/o. Krishnama Naidu, R/o. G-1, Pavani Plaza, Simhapuri Line, Vedayapalem, Nellore, SPSR Nellore District.

...PETITIONER

AND

- A.P. State Road Transport Corporation, Rep. by its Managing Director, Bus Bhavan Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
- 2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Nellore, SPSR Nellore District.
- 3. Nellore Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Commissioner, Nellore, SPSR Nellore District.
- 4. The Superintendent of Police, SPSR Nellore District, Nellore.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of **WRIT OF MANDAMUS** declaring the action of the respondents in taking steps to dispossess the petitioner from the licensed premises, in spite of subsistence of the License period upto 11-9-2014 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art.14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondents not to interfere with the business of the petitioner in the licensed premises in SPSR Bus Station, Nellore, during the subsistence of the License period covered by Deed of license, dated 12.09.2008 executed by the 1st respondent.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 29845 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue an interim direction, directing the respondents not to interefere with the business of the petitioner in the licensed premises namely open space No.10, PSR Bus Station, Nellore, during the subsistence of the License period covered by Deed of license, dared 12.09.2008 executed by the 1st respondent, pending the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. MADHAVA RAO NALLURI Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2: SRI. KALLAKURI SRINIVASARAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: SRI. A SRIDHAR Counsel for the Respondent No.4: GP FOR HOME The Court made the following: ORDER.

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JULY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA
WRIT PETITION NO: 23326/2010

Between:

T.c.sridhar Naidu

...PETITIONER

AND

A P State Road Transport Corporation and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MADHAVA RAO NALLURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME
- 2.A SRIDHAR
- 3. KALLAKURI SRINIVASARAO

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA **WRIT PETITION NO: 23326 of 2010**

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and learned Government Pleader for respondent No.4.

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the present Writ Petition does not survive and no further adjudication is necessary in the present Writ Petition. Therefore, the Writ Petition becomes infructuous.

Recording the said submission, Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a seguel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- M SURYANADH REDDY **DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. One CC to SRI Madhava Rao Nalluri, Advocate [OPUC] 2. One CC to SRI A SRIDHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
- 3. One CC to SRI KALLAKURI SRINIVASARAO, Advocate [OPUC] 4. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
- 5. Three CD Copies VJ

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/07/2024

ORDER WP.No.23326 of 2010



DISMISSING OF THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS