



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

(Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

CONTEMPT CASE No. 930 of 2020

Between :-

1. Sri Mupparaju Kishore Babu, S/o. M. Kotaiah, Aged 41 years, Occ: Academic Consultant, S.V.U. College of Arts, S.V. University, Tirupathi, R/o. 4-44/2, 2nd floor, Srikrishna Nagar, Srikrishna Temple, 14th Cross, M.R. Palli, Tirupathi Urban, Chittoor District., A.P. 517 502.
2. Dr. T. Girija Seshamamba, W/o. T. Durga Vara Prasad, Aged 44 years, Occ: Academic Consultant, Dept. of Performing Arts, S.V.U. College of Arts, S.V. University, Tirupathi, R/o. Flat No. 301, Amaravathi Enclave, LS Nagar, Tirupathi (Urban), Chittoor District, A.P., 517 501.
3. Smt. Aviasanigari Jaya Madhuri, W/o. Kothapa Nanda Kumar, aged 53 years, Occ: Academic Consultant, Dept. of Librarian and Information Science, S.V.U. College of Arts, S.V. University, Tirupathi R/o. 209/1 Gaju Street, Near Ramuluvari Temple, Tirupathi Urban, Chittoor District, A.P.517 501.

....Petitioners/Petitionrs 12, 22 & 24

AND

Sri T.Sreedhara Reddy, The Registrar, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi, Chittoor District.

....Respondent/1st Respondent

WHEREAS the petitioners have presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, the above case through their counsel Sri Ch. Venkat Raman, praying the High Court to summon and punish the Respondent herein for his willful violation of the orders dated 04.02.2020 passed by this Court in WP No. 13569 / 2019, in the interest of justice.

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing, and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein, the earlier orders of the High Court dt. 13-10-2020 made herin and upon hearing the arguments Sri Ch. Venkat Raman, Advocate for petitioner and of Sri Butta Vijaya Bhaskar, Advocate representing the respondent, made the following Order:-

The respondent has filed counter-affidavit stating that the University is ready to continue the petitioners for the academic year 2021-22 subject to the result of the review petition. Proceedings issued to that effect was also enclosed to the counter-affidavit.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the respondent is not allowing the petitioners to discharge their duties in the University in terms of the order passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent would submit that as the University is in the middle of the academic year, that it is not possible for the University to now allow the petitioners to discharge their duties.

The said explanation given is not at all satisfactory. In fact, the appointment of the petitioners shall date back to the original order of this Court. The respondents are not at all justified in just issuing the proceedings without actually taking the petitioners into service in terms of the order of this Court. When the same is questioned, learned counsel for the respondent would request time for compliance of the order of this Court as directed above.

Contd..2...

Therefore, post the matter on 30.09.2021 for compliance of the order of this Court by issuing fresh proceedings appointing the petitioners from the date of order passed by this Court and by physically allowing them to discharge their duties in the University with all consequential benefits from the date of their appointment."

//True Copy//

Sd/-P.VenkataRamana
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

- 1.Sri T.Sreedhara Reddy, The Registrar, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi, Chittoor District. (BY R.P.A.D.)
- 2.Two CCs to the G.P. for Services-III, High Court of A.P., at Amaravati(OUT)
- 3.One CC to Sri Ch. Venkat Raman, Advocate (OPUC) ✓
- 4.One CC to Sri K. Butta Vijaya Bhaskar, Advocate [OPUC] ✓
- 5.One Spare Copy. ✓

TKK

HIGH COURT

CMR.J

DATE: 16-09-2021

ORDER

C.C.No. 930 of 2020.

DIRECTION

