



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3506]**

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 13052/2025**

**Between:**

P. Sujatha

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

The State of Andhra Pradesh and Others

**...RESPONDENT(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. VENKATA NARAYANA RAO VEDULA

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR SERVICES I

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

The present writ petition is filed seeking the following relief:

“...to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not permitting the Petitioner to discharge her duties as Sanghamitra VOA/Village Organising Agent and not uploading her name in the online portal and not paying the salary as per the conditions mentioned in the circular vide No.64-8/911/SERP/IB/VOA/2019, dated 06.11.2019, and trying to terminate the petitioner without passing any orders and without following due process of law, as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the well-established principles apart from violation of fundamental and constitutional rights guaranteed

under Articles 14, 16, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as VOA (formerly known as Sanghamitra) to discharge her duties by paying the salary including arrears and pass....”

2. Heard Sri Vedula Venkatanarayana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-I for 1<sup>st</sup> respondent; and Sri Hari Babu, learned Standing Counsel, for 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent.

3. On 09.05.2025 this Court passed the following order:

“Learned Government Pleader for Services-I takes notice for respondent No.1.

Sri Hari Babu, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for respondent No.2 and seeks time to get instructions.

As petitioner is appointed as Village Organization Assistant (VOA) by way of resolution dated 10.03.2025, in view of Circular No.64-8/911/SERP/IB/2019, dated 06.11.2019 the respondents cannot interfere with petitioner’s work. Therefore, there shall be an interim direction to respondents to continue the petitioner as VOA.

List the matter on 16.06.2025 in the adjourned motion list.”

4. Sri Hari Babu, learned Standing Counsel for 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, on instructions, submits that even as on today, petitioner’s name is reflecting in the on-line portal and that they do not propose to disengage the petitioner as Village Organization Assistant (“V.O.A.”) and at any rate the appointment and removal of petitioner as V.O.A. is in

exclusive domain of the Society and the official respondents have no role to play the same.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending consideration, if any, in this case shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN**

**Date:16.06.2025.**

CS