IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO AND HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

CONTEMPT APPEAL Nos.32 and 33 of 2015

CONTEMPT APPEAL No.32 of 2015

Contempt Appeal filed under Section 19 of Contempt of Courts Act, aggrieved by the order dated 12-10-2015 passed in Contempt Case No.1041 of 2015.

Between:

Lanke Vijayalakshmi, W/o.Venkateswara Rao, aged 44 years, D.No.14-118 (old 13-86), R/o. Peda Pulipaka Road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District-520 007.

...Petitioner/Respondent

AND

 Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Yenamalakudurru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District, rep. by its Executive Officer.

...Respondent/Petitioner

- Velagapudi Viswanath, S/o. Nageswara Rao, Aged 29 years, D.No.14-111, Peda Pulipaka Road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District-520 007.
- 3. Dannapaneni Chinnamma, W/o. Balakoti, R/o. Peda Pulipaka Road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District-520 007.

4. Palakurthi Krishna, S/o. Suryanarayanaa, R/o. Peda Pulipaka Road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District-520 007.

...Respondents/ Respondents 2 to 4

(Respondents 2 to 4 are not necessary parties to this appeal)

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(APPL. NO: 1370 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Suspend the sentence passed in CC.No.1041 of 2015 on dated 12/10/2015 pending disposal of the main appeal.

Counsel for the Appellant

: Sri Suresh Kumar Potturi

Counsel for Respondent No.1: Smt Pulipati Radhika

CONTEMPT APPEAL No.33 of 2015

Contempt Appeal filed under Section 19 of Contempt of Courts Act, aggrieved by the order dated 12.10.2015 passed in Contempt Case No.1041 of 2015.

Between:

Velagapudi Viswanath, S/o.Nageswara Rao, Aged 29 years, D.No.14-111, R/o. Peda Pulipaka Road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District-520 007.

...Petitioner/Respondent

AND

1. Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Yenamalakudurru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District, rep. by its Executive Officer.

- 2. Lanke Vijayalakshmi, W/o.Venkateswara Rao
- 3. Dannapanenei Chinnamma, W/o. Balakoti
- 4. Palakurti Krishna, S/o.Surya Narayana

(Respondents 2 to 4 are not necessary to this Appeal)

...Respondents

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2015(APPL. NO: 1387 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Suspend the sentence passed in CC.No.1041 of 2015 dated 12.10.2015 pending disposal of the main appeal.

Counsel for the Appellant : Sri Suresh Kumar Potturi

Counsel for Respondent No.1: Smt. Pulipati Radhika

The Court made the following:

APRICO102400223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI (Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3508]

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM CONTEMPT APPEAL NO: 32/2015

Between:

Lanke Vijayalakshmi

...APPLICANT

AND

Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Applicant:

1.SURESH KUMAR POTTURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PULIPATI RADHIKA

CONTEMPT APPEAL NO: 33/2015

Between:

Velagapudi Viswanath,

...APPLICANT

AND

Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Applicant:

1.SURESH KUMAR POTTURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. PULIPATI RADHIKA

The Court made the following Common Judgment:

(per Hon'ble Sri Justice R. Raghunandan Rao)

The 1st respondent, being aggrieved by taking over of Ac.0.35 cents of land in Rs.No.57/1 of Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamalur Mandal, had approached this Court by way of W.P.No.8745 of 2015.

- 2. A learned Single Judge of the erstwhile High Court of Andhra Pradesh had passed an order, dated 01.04.2015, directing maintenance of *status-quo*.
- 3. Thereafter, the 1st respondent approached the learned Single Judge, by way of C.C.No.1041 of 2015, contending that the possession of the aforesaid land has been taken over despite the orders of the learned Single Judge.
- 4. The learned Single Judge, after hearing both sides and considering the contention of the appellants that, the possession of the land had been taken over even prior to the orders of the Court, had held the appellants guilty of violation of the orders of the Court, while dismissing the Contempt Case against two other respondents in the Contempt Case, by an order, dated 12.10.2015.
- 5. Aggrieved by the said orders, the appellants have moved these two Contempt Appeals before this Court.
- 6. Smt.Pulipati Radhika, learned counsel appearing for the 1st respondent, submits that the possession of the land has been given back to the 1st respondent-Temple and the lease of the said land has also been auctioned. The 1st respondent-Temple is receiving rents from the said lesson.

- 7. She further submits that, in such circumstances, the appellants have purged themselves of the Contempt of the orders of this Court.
- 8. In that view of the matter, these Contempt Appeals are allowed.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications pending, if any shall stand closed.

Sd/- P. VENKATA RAMANA JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

- 1. The Executive Officer, Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Yenamalakudurru Village, Penamalur Mandal, Krishna District.
- 2. One CC to Sri Suresh Kumar Potturi, Advocate [OPUC]
- 3. One CC to Smt. Pulipati Radhika, Advocate [OPUC]
- 4. Three CD Copies

TK Cnr **HIGH COURT**

TK CNR

DATED:16/12/2024

COMMON ORDER
CA.Nos.32 & 33 of 2015



ALLOWING THE CONTEMPT APPEALS