



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3329]

WEDNESDAY ,THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 10201/2025

Between:

N Kantha Reddy and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.DEVALARAJU ANIL KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

“to issue WRIT OF MANDAMUS or any other appropriate writ order or direction to declare the action of the Respondents No 4 and 5 in mutating the revenue record and web land pertaining to the subject property i e S Nos 331/IC0 17 cents S No 331/2C 0 25 cents and S No 335/3830 06 cents and S No 335/3820 03 cents situated at Surappakasam Village Renigunta Mandal Tirupati District during pendency of the ROR Revision

vide Rc No D2/20/2025 before Respondent No 3 as illegal arbitrary without authority null and void violation of principles of natural justice and also contrary to Articles 14 of Constitution of India and consequently DIRECT the Respondent Nos.4 and 5 not to mutate or change any name in the revenue records and web land pertaining to the subject property i e S Nos 331/IC0 17 cents S No 331/2C 0 25 cents and S No 335/3830 06 cents and S No 335/3820 03 cents situated at Surappakasam Village Renigunta Mandal Tirupati District during pendency of the ROR Revision vide Rc No D2/20/2025 in the interest of justice and to pass order or orders...”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the absolute owners and possessors of the subject property, admeasuring to an extent of Ac.0.17 cents in Sy. No. 331/1C, Ac. 0.25 cents in Sy. No. 331/2C, Ac. 0.06 cents in Sy. No. 335/383, and Ac. 0.03 cents in Sy. No. 335/382, situated at Surappakasam Village, Renigunta Mandal, Tirupati District and the subject property is an ancestral property acquired through partition. Since the petitioners had succeeded to the subject property, they have been in peaceful possession and enjoyment thereof, and their names were also mutated in the revenue records as pattadars and possessors of their respective lands. While things stood thus, the respondent Nos. 6 and 7 are trying to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property of the petitioners. Consequently, the petitioners instituted a

suit in O.S. No. 616 of 2020 before the 1st Additional Junior Civil Judge, Tirupati. During the pendency of the said suit, respondent Nos. 6 and 7 (who are defendants therein) preferred a ROR appeal before the 4th respondent against the petitioners. The 4th respondent passed an order dated 24.09.2024. Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioners preferred a revision before the 3rd respondent, who was pleased to pass an order dated 06.01.2025, staying the operation of the order dated 24.09.2024 passed by the 4th respondent. However, taking advantage of the 4th respondent's order dated 24.09.2024 and ignoring the stay order dated 06.01.2025 passed in the revision, respondents 4 and 5, in collusion with respondent Nos. 6 and 7, are trying to alter the revenue records and Webland entries in respect of the subject property by deleting the names of the petitioners. Such action of respondent Nos. 4 and 5, during the pendency of the ROR revision before the 3rd respondent, is contrary to law. Hence, the present writ petition.

4. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents submits that the respondents' authorities will consider and dispose of the ROR revision preferred by the petitioners before the 3rd respondent and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a reasonable time.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents and on perusal of the material available on

record, this court is of the considered view that, the present writ petition can be disposed of by directing the 3rd respondent to consider and dispose of the ROR revision preferred by the petitioners and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other stake holders if any, within a period of four(04) months from the date of receipt of copy of this Order. In the meanwhile, status-quo obtaining as on today shall be maintained by all the parties concerned in respect of possession of revenue record in respect of the subject property.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

23.04.2025
klk

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

256

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.10201 of 2025

23.04.2025

klk