

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY**

**WRIT PETITION No.20628 OF 2015**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

*“....to issue a Writ Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the respondents 2 to 4 taking action on the representation of the petitioner dt.12.06.2015 made against the respondent no.5 illegal action though the 5th respondent breaking the cement road and taking to connect the drainage pipe line in front of the petitioner house inspite of the fact that there is a straight drainage existing on the 5th respondent house side by connecting the septic tank pipe line to drainage is illegal arbitrary and violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents nos.2 to 4 not to allow the 5th respondent to break the cement road to connect he drainage pipe line in front of the petitioner's house and pass such other order or orders.”*

Though the petitioner made several allegations against the respondents, during hearing, Sri K.Satyanarayana Murthy, learned counsel for the petitioner limited his request to dispose of the representation dated 12.06.2015 made by the petitioner, without touching the merits of the case.

3. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for respondents readily agreed to dispose of the representation dated 12.06.2015 submitted by the petitioner, if any, pending with the respondent-authorities.

4. Recording the submissions of the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, I need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in “***The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh***<sup>1</sup>”, wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do not

---

<sup>1</sup> 2019 (8) SCALE 544

serve to the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioner himself requested to issue a direction to dispose of the representation dated 12.06.2015 submitted by the petitioner, I find no other alternative, except to issue such direction.

5. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to dispose of the representation dated 12.06.2015 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. No costs.

As a sequel miscellaneous application, pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE M. SATYANARAYANA MURTHY**

Date: 06.01.2022

VSL

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY**

**WRIT PETITION NO.20628 OF 2015**

Date: 06.01.2022

VSL