

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI**

THURSDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

**PRESENT**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11007 OF 2022**

**Between :**

B Madhu Sudana, S/o. Subbaraidu, Aged about 53 years, Occ. Contractor,  
R/o. H.No.1-75A, Gadivemula, Kurnool District, Pincode. 518508.

... Petitioner

**And**

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Special Chief Secretary, Department of Irrigation (Water Resources Department), Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Finance and Planning, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
3. The Superintendent Engineer, Irrigation Circle, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
4. The Executive Engineer W R D, M.I.Works Division, Nandyal, Kurnool District.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, AP CFMS Office, 6th Floor, C-Block, Anjaneya Towers, Ibrahimpatnam, Krishna District.

... Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri Bathala Ramesh

Counsel for respondents : G.P. for Irrigation and CAD (AP)

**The Court made the following Order :-**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for the respondents.
2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Government Pleader for Finance and Planning, on oral instructions submitted that respondent authorities paid the total due amount claimed by the petitioner.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents paid the principal amount, but not paid the interest as claimed by the petitioner and the contempt proceedings initiated in C.C.No.4637 of 2022 was also closed.
4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader that that the principal amount was already paid as claimed by the petitioner, contempt proceedings were closed and also the contention of the learned Government Pleader that the further claim of interest for delayed payment can be agitated by way of initiation of separate/fresh legal proceedings is also valid and sustainable.
5. In view of the facts and circumstances and for the reasons stated above, the present Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous, granting liberty to the

petitioner to claim differential amount/withheld amount or interest amount, if any, by way of initiation of fresh/separate legal proceedings in accordance with law. No costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

---

**VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J**

Date: 15.02.2024  
MH

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11007 OF 2022**

Date: 15.02.2024  
MH