



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY

WRIT PETITION NO: 11300 OF 2020

Between:

Mallikarjunappa, S/o late Chow Rudrappa, Hindu, aged about 61 years, R/O
Gudibanda Village, Gudibanda Mandal, Anantapur District (A.P)

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretarial Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Joint Collector, Ananthapuram Town and District.
3. The Sub-Collector and Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Penugonda, Ananthapur District.
4. The Thasildar, Gudibanda, Gudibanda Mandal, Ananthapuram District.
5. Bhasavaraju, S/o. Veerabhadrapa, R/o. Gudibanda Village and Mandal, Ananthapuram District.
6. Dhanujaya, S/o. Veerabhadrapa. R/o. Gollapally Village and Mandal, Ananthapuram District.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent when the revision is pending before 2nd respondent since 07.03.2020 against the orders of 3rd respondent R.C.No.161/20/B dated 15.02.2020 due to pandemic the 2rd respondent did not pass any order on stay petition in the revision. Meanwhile the 4th respondent herein is implementing the orders of the 3rd respondent is as illegal, improper and arbitrary. Without filing any supported documents by the 5th and 6th respondents which was categorically held by the 3rd respondent in his proceedings but cancelling the petitioners patta 10.05.09 vide in Sy.No.716/5 an extent of 5.10 cents situated at Gudibanda Village and Mandal, Ananthapuram District is not sustainable, consequently the proceedings of the 2nd respondent is liable to be set-sided.

IA NO: 1 OF 2020

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in W.P., the High Court may be pleased to directing the 4th respondent not to disposes the petitioner's in respect of Sy.No.716/5 an extent of 5.10 cents situated at Gudibanda Village and Mandal, Ananthapuram District the orders of the 3rd respondent, pending disposal of WP No. 11300 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and orders of the High Court dated 09-07-2020, 11.08.2020, 14.10.2020, 11-11-2020, 17-12-2020, 25-01-2021, 08.03.2021, 15.06.2021 & 22.07.2021 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Smt A Padma, Advocate for the Petitioner, and GP for Revenue for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and of Sri Harish Kumar Rasineni, Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 & 6, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Interim order is extended by six weeks.

Post after four weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- B. PRASADA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


FOR ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. One CC to Smt. A Padma, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri. Harish Kumar Rasineni, Advocate (OPUC)
3. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

nbr

HIGH COURT

MSM,J

DATED: 22-09-2021

NOTE: POST AFTER FOUR WEEKS

ORDER

WP.No.11300 of 2020

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

