IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVAT

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

IN

TRCMP NO: 115 OF 2025

Between:

Bonda Veena @ Akella Venkata Satyavani, D/o.Late Subrahmanyam, aged about 57 years, R/o.FF-3, Sitaramaraju Asiyana, near Ontimamidi Junction Gandhinagar, Kakinada-533003.

...Petitioner

AND

Bonda Venkata Swamy Naidu, S/o.Late B.S.Ramakrishna, aged about 62 years, Occ: Self Employeed, R/o.Flat No.404, A-Block, Sri Sai Datha Apartments, East Srinivas Nagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500016, Telangana State.

...Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY

Counsel for the Respondent :

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the memorandum of grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including presence of the petitioner in F.C.O.P.No. 321 of 2025 pending on the file of the court of the XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge at Vijayawada, NTR District, pending disposal of the above Transfer C.M.P. in this Hon'ble Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order. (The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case). The Court made the following

ORDER:

"Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is none other than the wife of the respondent/husband, due to the matrimonial disputes between both the spouses, the petitioner/wife has been residing separately at her parents' house at Kakinada.

He would further contend that the petitioner/wife lodged a complaint against the respondent/husband dated 25.10.2024, in F.I.R.No.75 of 2024, for the offences punishable under Section 85 of B.N.S. Act, 2023 and under Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, before the Mahila Police Station, Kakinada, the same is pending for investigation.

He would further contend that to cause unnecessary inconvenience to her, the respondent/husband had filed F.C.O.P.No.321 of 2025 on the file of the XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Vijayawada, Krishna District, under Section 13(1)(ia)(ib) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, seeking dissolution of the marriage and the same is pending for adjudication. He would further contend that, the distance between Kakinada and Vijayawada is more than 200 Kms, it is very difficult for the petitioner/wife to attend the divorce case proceedings before the XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Vijayawada, Krishna District, on each and every adjournment without any male support and that she is constrained to file the present transfer petition.

Perused the record.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent through Registered Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service before the Registry."

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-SK MD RAFI ASSISTANT REGISTRAR SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. The XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
- 2. Bonda Venkata Swamy Naidu, S/o.Late B.S.Ramakrishna, aged about 62 years, Occ: Self Employeed, R/o.Flat No.404, A-Block, Sri Sai Datha Apartments, East Srinivas Nagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500016, Telangana State. (By RPAD)
- 3. One CC to Sri. SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY, Advocate [OPUC]
- 4. One spare copy

JSS

HIGH COURT

VGKR, J

DATED:08/04/2025

POST THE MATTER ON 09.05.2025.

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2025 IN TRCMP.No.115 of 2025

INTERIM STAY

