

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND
WRIT PETITION NO: 9951 OF 2020



Between:

Bandaru Rambabu, S/o.Sanyasi Aged 61 years Occ. Vegetable vendor,
A.Annavaram Village, Sankhavaram Mandal, East Godavari District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Roads and Buildings Department, Secretariate, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. Divisional Engineer, Roads and Building Department, Tuni, East Godavari District.
3. A.Annavaram Grampanchayat, rep.by its Executive Officer, A.Annavaram, East Godavari District.
4. Mr.Gampala Satyakumar, S/o.Gourayya, Aged 30 years, Occ.Business R/o.4th ward A.Annavaram Village and Mandal, East Godavari District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in resorting to demolish the shop of the petitioner by issuing notice dated 11.2.2020 as illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and to consequently setaside the notice dated 11.2.2020 issued by the 3rd respondent.

IA NO: 1 OF 2020

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd respondent not to demolish the shop of the petitioner by virtue of the impugned notice dated 11.2.2020 pending disposal of the writ petition, Pending disposal of WP 9951 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 17.06.2020 made herein upon hearing the arguments of Sri V V Satish, Advocate for the Petitioner, GP for Roads Buildings Advocate for Respondent No 1 & 2, Sri I.Koti Reddy Standing Counsel for Respondent No 3, and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

"Heard Sri V.V. Satish, learned counsel for the Petitioner, and Sri Koti Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for Gram Panchayat.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Transport and roads and Building takes notice for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 requested two weeks time.

Post the matter after two weeks.

Interim order granted on 17.06.2020 is extended for a period of three weeks."

Sd/- E. KAMESWARA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. One CC to Sri. V V Satish Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Roads Buildings, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One spare copy.

MSB

HIGH COURT

DEV,J

DATED: 23/06/2020

POST AFTER TWO WEEKS

ORDER

WP.No.9951 of 2020

EXTENSION

