HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE : W.P.No.8021 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

SI. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
6.	31.07.2024	SRS,J	
		Heard Sri J.V. Phaniduth, learned counsel for	
		the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader	
		for Services-IV for respondents 1 to 3;	
		Sri K. Sreedhara Murthy, learned standing counsel for	
		respondent Nos.4 and 5 and Sri R. Venkatesh,	
		learned counsel for respondent Nos.6 to 8.	
		At the hearing, learned standing counsel has	
		drawn the attention of this court to the regular	
		employee details filed at page No.9 of the counter	
		affidavit of respondent No.4, whereby deceased	
		uploaded photos and particulars of his family	
		members. He also drew the attention of this Court to	
		the marriage certificate produced by the deceased	
		before the Corporation.	
		A perusal of the regular employee details	
		discloses that deceased married respondent No.6 in	
		the year 1992 and they were blessed with respondent	
		Nos.7 and 8.	
		The contention of learned counsel for the	
		petitioner is that the marriage between the deceased	
		and the petitioner was performed in the year, 2002	
		and that it is valid marriage.	
		However, a perusal of the documents placed	
		before this Court, would <i>prima facie</i> indicate that even	

if the deceased had contracted marriage with the petitioner, it is only subsisting the first marriage. These questions will be adjudicated by the Civil Court, but not by the this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to get instructions from the petitioner as to whether the petitioner wants to proceed with the matter or withdraw the writ petition.

Post on 07.08.2024, under the caption.

RRS, J