

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY ,THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 9355 OF 2022

Between:

- 1. N.NARAYANA REDDY, S/o. Malla Reddy, Occ. Business, aged about 62 years, R/o.D.No.3-55, Kondamayunipalli (V), Owk (M), Kurnool District.**

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District.**
- 2. The EngineerInChief, Water Resources Department, Vijayawada, Krishna District.**
- 3. The Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, M I Works Division, Nandyal, Kurnool District.**
- 4. The Deputy Executive Engineer, M.I.Works Sub Division, Nandyal, Kurnool District.**
- 5. The District Collector, Kurnool District.**
- 6. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District.**
- 7. The Directorate of Works and Accounts, rep. by its Director, 3rd Floor, C-Block, Anjaneya Towers, Ibrahimpatnam, Krishna District**
- 8. The Assistant Pay and Accounts Officer, O/a.Nandyal, SRBC Colony, Administrative Building, Telugu Ganga Project, Nandyal - 518 501, Kurnool District.**

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following Order:

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for the respondents.
2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Government Pleader for Finance and Planning, on oral instructions submitted that respondent authorities paid the total due amount claimed by the petitioner.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents paid the principal amount, but not paid the interest as claimed by the petitioner.
4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader that that the principal amount was already paid as claimed by the petitioner and also the contention of the learned Government Pleader that the further claim of interest for delayed payment can be agitated by way of initiation of separate/fresh legal proceedings is also valid and sustainable.
5. In view of the facts and circumstances and for the reasons stated above, the present Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous, granting liberty to the petitioner to claim differential amount/withheld amount or interest amount, if any, by way of initiation of fresh/separate legal proceedings in accordance with law. No costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

Date: 13.02.2024
JLV

210

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.9355 of 2022

13th February, 2024

JLV