



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

MONDAY ,THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 7282/2025

Between:

R.maheswari

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P GANGA RAMI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO:7282/2025

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

“ to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent Nos.2 to 5 in not taking action on the representations dated 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025 as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent Nos.2 to 5 to take action on the representations dated 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025 and pass such other order or orders....”

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.
3. Though the petitioner made several allegations against the respondents, during hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner requested this Court, without touching the merits of the case, to issue a direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of the representations dated 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025 submitted by the petitioner
4. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue readily agreed to dispose of the representations of the petitioner dated 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025 pending with the authorities, within a reasonable time.

5. In view of the submission of the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, this Court need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in ***“The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh¹”***, wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do not serve to the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioner himself requested to issue a direction to dispose of the representations 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025, this Court find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

6. In the result, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to consider and dispose of the representations dated 23.01.2025 and 05.02.2025, after providing opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned, within a period of three (03) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

24.03.2025

BSP

¹ 2004 (1) SCC 769

04

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.7282 of 2025

24.03.2025

BSP