

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 6081 OF 2012



Between:

M.S.Sastry, S/o late Venkatappaiah, Driver E.350842, APSRTC,
Guntur-2 Depot, Guntur District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The APSRTC, rep.by its VC & MD, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Guntur Region, Guntur District
3. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Bapatla Depot, Guntur District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order No.Steno/19(41)/04-RM(G), dt.06.10.2004 passed by Regional Manager, Guntur and set-aside the same as being bad, illegal, without jurisdiction and invalid and consequently direct the respondents to release the two increments and treat the period from the date of suspension to till the date of reporting duty as On Duty and direct the respondents to extent all consequential benefits including back wages

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 7733 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to release the two increments by treating the period from the date of suspension to till the reinstatement as On Duty

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. KAMBHAM MADHAVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI K SATYANARAYANA MURTHY

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI

[3457]

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 6081/2012

Between:

M.s.sastry,

...PETITIONER

AND

The Apsrtc Rep By Its Vc Md and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KAMBHAM MADHAVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following Order:

Despite the matter being listed under the caption for dismissal, today none represented for the petitioner. On earlier occasion also, there was no representation for the petitioner.

Therefore, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- P. VINOD KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. VC & MD, The APSRTC, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Guntur Region, Guntur District
3. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Bapatla Depot, Guntur District
4. One CC to SRI. KAMBHAM MADHAVA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to SRI K SATYANARAYANA MURTHY. Advocate [OPUC]

HIGH COURT

DATED:21/01/2025

ORDER

WP.No.6081 of 2012



**DISMISSING THE WP FOR NON PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**