


IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF JULY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE



PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 2258 OF 2016

Between:

Dasari Sridhar S/o. Prasada Rao, D.No.23-23-2, Chinnaravuru Thota, Tenali, Guntur District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Law (L.A & J-Home Courts A-2) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Director of Prosecutions, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the radio message of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in No.527/A2/2015 dated 21-01-2016 in so far as the petitioner is concerned as arbitrary, illegal, without jurisdiction, and contrary to the G.O Rt.No.1673 dated 22-07-2013 of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent herein and set aside the same and consequently further declare that the Circular Memo C.No.3058(P)/Cts.A/2015 dated 04-01-2016 of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent herein is not applicable to the petitioner is concerned.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 2866 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the radio message of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in No.527/A2/2015 dated 21-01-2016 in so far as the petitioner is concerned pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. V V N NARASIMHAM

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR LAW & LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: AMARAVATI**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION No.2258 OF 2016**

**ORDER:-**

As there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 07.07.2023, the matter was directed to be listed to this day under the caption 'for dismissal'.

Even today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and it appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

Therefore, Writ Petition is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, in this case, shall stand closed.

**SD/- K. KASI RAO ACHARI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To,**

1. One CC to Sri. V V N Narasimham Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Law & Legislative Affairs, High Court of Andhra Pradesh.  
[OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

Sdkr

Sk

*PujMa*

Sdkr


**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:14/07/2023**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.2258 of 2016**

*5 copies  
TP  
16/8/2023*



**DISMISSING THE WP AS NON-PROSECUTION  
WITHOUT COSTS**