



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1471 OF 2022

Between:

Abhishek Agarwal, S/o. Anik Kumar Agarwal, age 44 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Plot No. 92 & 93, P&T Colony, Beside Apollo Hospital, Karkhana, Secunderabad, Hyderabad, Telangana State.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Directorate of Enforcement, Visakhapatnam Sub Zonal Office, Door No. 39-33-1, Plot No. MIG-230, Madhavadhara, VUDA Layout, Visakhapatnam- 530018, Rep.by its Assistant Director.
2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Public Prosecutor, High Court Buildings, Amaravathi, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh State.

...Respondents

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the memorandum of grounds filed in Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the F.No.ECIR/HYZO/12/2014/318, issued by the 1st respondent.

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the memorandum of grounds filed in Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including any co-ersive steps against the petitioner in connection with the summon No. PMLA/SUMMON/VKSZO/2022/93 issued by the 1st respondent, pending disposal of CRLP 1471 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/s. Pillix Law Firm, Advocate for the Petitioner, Sri Josyula Bhaskara Rao, Standing Counsel for Respondent No.1 and Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.2, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

"Learned Standing Counsel Sri Josula Bhaskar appearing for the 1st respondent sought two (02) weeks time and opposed for grant of interim direction.

Learned senior counsel Sri Dammalapati Srinivas appearing for the petitioner submitted that as per the notice served, they will appear before the investigating agency and cooperate with the authorities.

In fact learned counsel for the petitioner has also brought notice of this Court that the *defacto complainant* as well as the petitioners have compromised the matter and it was ended in Lok Adalath.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner though it was opposed by the public prosecutor, there shall be a direction to the respondents not to take coercive steps against the petitioner herein. Further the petitioner is directed to cooperate with the investigating agency.

Post after two (02) weeks."

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-T.Madhavi
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
Fc SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The ~~Chief~~ XII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
2. The Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Visakhapatnam, Sub Zonal Office, Door No. 39-33-1, Plot No. MIG-230, Madhavadhara, VUDA Layout, Visakhapatnam - 530 018. **(by RPAD)**
3. One CC to M/s. Pillix Law Firm, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri. Josyula Bhaskara Rao, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP [OUT]
6. One spare copy.

MSB

HIGH COURT

DR,J

DATED:03/03/2022

ORDER

POST AFTER TWO (02) WEEKS

CRLP.No.1471 of 2022

DIRECTION

