

[3327]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 3867 OF 2024

Between:

Ch Kondala Rao, S/o. Venkatarnam, aged 61 years, Occ Business,
R/o.Tadepalli (V & M), Guntur District.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur.
2. Superintendent of Police, Guntur District at Guntur.
3. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
4. Station House Officer, Tadepalli Police Station, Tadepalli, Guntur District.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ/Order/Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not closing the Rowdy Sheet No.528-B against petitioner despite of not reviewing from 2014 onwards as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction besides violation of Art. 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently close the same by declaring the action of the Respondents in continuing Rowdy Sheet No.528-B against the Petitioner despite of no case against him from the year, 2014 onwards forthwith.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 4th Respondent not to call the Petitioner to the Police station pursuant to Rowdy Sheet No.528-B on its file without there being any other crime forthwith, pending disposal of the Writ Petition No.3867 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI.SRINIVASULU KURRA Advocate for the Petitioner and GP for Home for Respondents, the Court made the following.

ORDER:


Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent police are directed not to call the petitioner to the police station without issuing notice to the petitioner.

Post after summer vacation, 2024.

//TRUE COPY//

Fo

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAJU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Guntur District at Guntur.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
4. The Station House Officer, Tadepalli Police Station, Tadepalli, Guntur District (1 to 4 by RPAD)
5. One CC to SRI.SRINIVASULU KURRA Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
7. One spare copy

SRL

HIGH COURT

SRK,J

DATED:06/05/2024

NOTE: POST AFTER SUMMER VACATION, 2024

ORDER

WP.No.3867 of 2024

DIRECTION

