MAIN CASE NO: C.C.No.209 OF 2021

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
10.	29.10.2021	NJS,J	
		Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.	Transferred
		Mr. E.V.V.S. Ravi Kumar, learned counsel for	to I/O folder before
		respondent No.3, is also present before this Court. He	correction
		produced the original dispatch register, wherein, the	
		postal receipts dated 09.10.2020 would indicate that	
		notice dated 05.10.2020 was dispatched to respondent	
		Nos.6 and 7.	
		The presence of the respondents is dispensed	
		with.	
		List the matter on 26.11.2021 for filing reply	
		affidavit to the counters, if any.	
		Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 is	
		directed to file copies of the postal receipts, statement and notice dated 05.10.2020 within a period of one	
		week.	
		WCCA.	
		NJS,J	
		EPS	

www.econi	
www.ecounsingla.com	
www.ecounsingla.com	
www.ecourtsindia.com	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P.No.20610 of 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	DATE ORDER	
	21.12.2019	MSM,J	
		Learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies	
		seeks time to file counter. Time is extended for filing	
		counter for a period of two (02) weeks.	
		As seen from the petition, the petitioner sought	

SL.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		interim direction to suspend the impugned order and	
		during hearing the learned counsel for petitioner	
		highlighted the action of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent in passing	
		the order calling for report of Tahsildar.	
		After completion of enquiry on 05-12-2019	
		passing an order acting on discreet enquiry referred in	
		page 5 of the order impugned in this Writ Petition is a	
		serious irregularity and contrary to the principles laid	
		down by the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad	
		for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra	
		Pradesh in W.P.Nos.22158 and 22190 of 2017, dated	
		21-08-2018. Therefore, the order impugned in this	
		Writ Petition is suspended for a period of two (02)	
		months.	
		In the meantime, the learned Government Pleader	
		for Civil Supplies is at liberty to file his counter.	
		Post the matter after two (02) weeks.	
		MSM, J	
		IS	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.18307 OF 2019

		NOTE
18.11.2019	MSM,J	
	W.P No.18307 of 2019	
	Issue notice to respondents Nos.2 and 3. The	
	Standing Counsel for Endowments, takes notice for 3 <sup>rd</sup>	
	respondent.	
	The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also	
	permitted to take out personal notice to 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent	
	by RPAD and file proof service into the Registry within	
	four weeks.	
	DACEN I	
	Misivi, J	
	I.A No.1of 2019	
	The petitioner is questioning G.O.Rt.No.1091	
	issued by Revenue (Endowments II) Department, dated	
	01.11.2019, superseding the existing trust board,	
	before expiry of tenure of two years, only on the	
	ground that in view of amendment with effect from	
	22.08.2019 to Section 17 of A.P Charitable and Hindu	
	Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987,	
	Endowments Department is competent to supersede	
	the existing trust board. But there is a serious	
	controversy about application of the G.O to already	
	existing trust boards, more particularly whether it has	
	got prospective or retrospective effect and thereby I	
	find that there is prima facie case i.e. arguable case, in	
	18.11.2019	Issue notice to respondents Nos.2 and 3. The Standing Counsel for Endowments, takes notice for 3rd respondent.  The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice to 2rd respondent by RPAD and file proof service into the Registry within four weeks.  I.A No.1of 2019  The petitioner is questioning G.O.Rt.No.1091 issued by Revenue (Endowments II) Department, dated 01.11.2019, superseding the existing trust board, before expiry of tenure of two years, only on the ground that in view of amendment with effect from 22.08.2019 to Section 17 of A.P Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, Endowments Department is competent to supersede the existing trust board. But there is a serious controversy about application of the G.O to already existing trust boards, more particularly whether it has got prospective or retrospective effect and thereby I

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		favour of the petitioner. Hence, I find it is a fit case to	-
		grant interim order suspending the G.O for a period of	
		one month.	
		Accordingly, G.O.Rt.No.1091, Revenue	
		(Endowments II) Department dated 01.11.2019 is	
		suspended for a period of one month. In the	
		meanwhile the respondents are directed to file their	
		counter.	
		MSM, J	
		TO K	

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.18054 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	14.11.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.18054 of 2019	
		Since the petitioner is questioning the	
		amendment to Section 17 of the amended Act 31 of	
		2019, vide G.O.Rt No.1100 dated 06.11.2019 which	
		created services in Trust Board, in the writ petition,	
		the Registry is directed to list the matter before	
		appropriate bench, as per Roster, after obtaining	
		permission from the Hon'ble Chief Justice.	
		THOM I	
		MSM, J Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.18038 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	12.11.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.18038 of 2019	
		Standing Counsel for 3 <sup>rd</sup> respondent temple,	
		seeks time to obtain instructions from the concerned.	
		Post on 19.11.2019.	
		MSM, J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		There shall be an interim direction, not to	
		dispossess the petitioner till 19.11.2019.	
		Note: Issue C.C today. b/o. Rvk	

DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	DATE	DATE ORDER

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.17848 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	12.11.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.17848 of 2019	
		Learned Assistant Government Pleader for	
		Endowments takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3.	
		Sri G. Ramana Rao, Standing Counsel for	
		Endowments takes notice for 4th respondent.	
		Issue notice to unofficial respondent Nos.5 to	
		11. The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to respondent	
		Nos.5 to 11 by RPAD and file proof of service into the	
		Registry within two weeks.	
		Post after two weeks.	
		MSM, J	
		<u>I.A No.1 of 2019</u>	
		As seen from the material on record,	
		respondent Nos.5 to 11 were appointed as members of	
		trust board for Sri Yogabhogeswara Swamy Temple,	
		Chippili village, Madanapalli Mandal, Chittoor District,	
		on the recommendation made by P.V Midhun Reddy,	
		Member of Parliament, Lok Sabha. His letter dated	
		16.10.2019, disclose the recommendation for	
		appointment of the following persons:	
		1.B. Venkata Ramana, S/o.B.Akkulappa,	
		2.G. Gangulappa, S/o.G. Obuleshu,	
		3.M. Ravi Kanth Reddy, S/o.M. Rama Chandra Reddy,	
		4. P. Sujatha, W/o.P. Jayanarayana Reddy,	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		5.B. Eswaramma, W/o.B. Akkulappa,	
		6.D. Jaya Prakash, S/o.D. Anjanappa,	
		7.T. Visweswara Prasad,	
		8.M. Saradamma.	
		Yet, another contention raised before this Court	
		is that no antecedents enquiry was conducted to	
		appoint respondent Nos.5 to 11 as required under	
		G.O.Ms.No.258 Revenue (Endowments-I) dated	
		31.03.1988 and Clause 6 (1) directs the appointing	
		authority to cause verification of antecedents, through	
		the subordinate officers or the authority may himself	
		verify the antecedents in case of those applications	
		received in pursuance of the notification issued under	
		Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 4. But no such antecedents	
		enquiry was conducted, according to the Counsel for	
		the petitioner. However, it is evident from the record	
		that on the recommendation of the Member of	
		Parliament, Lok Sabha, these appointments were	
		made, prima facie contrary to the law declared by this	
		Court in W.P No.4522 of 2000 dated 10.04.2000.	
		In another judgment reported in <b>Pagadala</b>	
		Pratap and another v. State of A.P represented by	
		its Principal Secretary to Government, Department	
		of Revenue (Endowments), Hyderabad and others	
		in 2010 (4) ALT 510, the Single Judge of High Court of	
		A.P at Hyderabad, observed as follows:	
		"While public representatives, be they MLAs or Ministers, may be entitled to recommend persons whom they consider to be entitled for appointment as trustees of	

NO.		NOTE
11, Mid his if th  Rc.N appo	religious institutions, neither can they dictate these persons be appointed as trustees nor can the competent authority surrender its discretion and abdicate its statutory duty of appointing only those persons as trustees who satisfy the conditions prescribed in Act 30 of 1987, and the Trustees Rules. Only those recommended by the Minster of Information and Public Relations, as forwarded to him by the local M.L.A, (other than the two who were found ineligible), have been blindly appointed as trustees without adhering to the statutory provisions of Act 30 of 1987 or the statutory rules i.e. the Trustees Rules, 1987. Appointing those recommended by the Minister as trustees is evidently at his dictates, and reflects surrender of discretion and abdication of duty by the competent authority. The impugned G.O, appointing only those recommended by the Minister for information and Public Relations, as trustees without independent exercise of mind is ultra vires.  In view of the principles laid down in the over judgment, appointment of respondent Nos.5 to based on the recommendation made by P.V. Ilhun Reddy, Member of Parliament, Lok Sabha by letter dated 16.10.2019 is an illegality, prima facie, nev are not ineligible.  Therefore, the proceedings in No.D1/14027(31)/10/2019 dated 18.10.2019, ointing respondent Nos. 5 to 11 as members of at board for Sri Yogabhogeswara Swamy Temple, pipili village, Madanapalli Mandal, Chittoor District ereby suspended for a period of two (2) months.	NOTE

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.17809 OF 2019

DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
11.11.2019	MSM,J	
	W.P No.17809 of 2019	
	Post on 18.11.2019.	
	I.A No.1 of 2019	
	Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.	
	In the meanwhile, considering his submissions, that	
	in similar circumstances, in W.P No.12824 of 2019, this	
	Court passed interim direction directing the respondents to	
	restore the DIN number of the petitioner company; there	
	shall be interim direction to the respondents to restore the	
	DIN number of the petitioner company to enable it to	
	discharge its statutory functions for all the companies	
	which are classified as active.	
	MSM, J Rvk	
		MSM,J  W.P. No.17809 of 2019  Post on 18.11.2019.  I.A. No.1 of 2019  Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.  In the meanwhile, considering his submissions, that in similar circumstances, in W.P. No.12824 of 2019, this Court passed interim direction directing the respondents to restore the DIN number of the petitioner company; there shall be interim direction to the respondents to restore the DIN number of the petitioner company to enable it to discharge its statutory functions for all the companies which are classified as active.

DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	DATE	DATE ORDER

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.17434 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	06.11.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.17434 of 2019	
		Learned Government Pleader for respondent Nos.3	
		and 4 contended that the petitioner is an employee and the	
		matter must go to the Bench dealing with service matters.	
		In view of the submission, the learned Government	
		Pleader for respondent Nos.3 and 4, is directed to file an	
		affidavit, verifying the fact that the petitioner was an	
		employee under the respondents.	
		Post on 11.11.2019.	
		MSM, J Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.16572 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	02.11.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.16572 of 2019	
		For filing counter by Assistant Government Pleader for Land	
		Acquisition, post after two weeks.	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners Sri D. Krishna	
		Murthy and Assistant Government Pleader for Land	
		Acquisition.	
		The petitioners sought interim direction, not to	
		dispossess the petitioner from their lands in pursuant to	
		the preliminary notification No.A/14/2018 dated	
		06.03.2018 issued under Section 11 and declaration	
		No.A/14/2018 dated 23.10.2018 issued under Section 19	
		of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land	
		Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, Act 30 of	
		2013, pending disposal of writ petition.	
		The main grievance of the petitioner is that the Land	
		Acquisition Officer, did not comply the mandatory	
		requirement under Section 11 (1) (c) and (e) of Act 30 of	
		2013, thereby their acquisition of the land itself is illegal.	
		The basis for this contention is the information, the	
		petitioners obtained under Right to Information Act, from	
		Gram Panchayat vide proceedings DT (1)/10/2019 dated	
		28.09.2019 from Special Deputy Collector GNSS Unit – III	
		Maddunuru. As per the information the respondents, did	
		not comply Section 11 (1) (c) and (e) of Act 30 of 2013.	
		Whereas, the respondents Counsel contended that every	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		rule for acquisition of land is complied and produced	
		certain documents before this Court, during hearing and	
		before filing counter in the main petition. The proceedings	
		in Rc.No.A/14/2018 dated 09.04.2018 is relevant	
		document which shows that the notification was published	
		in Gram Panchayat, Tahsildar and Mandal Parishad Office,	
		police station and Sub-Registrar Office etc., so also	
		uploaded in the website. But it is a disputed question of	
		fact and such question cannot be decided at this stage,	
		without inviting counter, since the entire acquisition	
		proceedings vitiates on account of such irregularity.	
		Therefore, I find that it is appropriate to decide the main	
		petition only after inviting counter, in view of the disputed	
		question of fact, regarding publication of notification as	
		mandated under Section 11 (1) (c) and (e) of Act 30 of 2013.	
		However in view of the information furnished under Right to	
		Information Act, referred supra discloses that the	
		requirement is not complied prima facie. Having considered	
		the facts and circumstances of the case and based on	
		information collected under Right to Information Act, the	
		respondents are directed not to dispossess the petitioners	
		from their land in pursuant to notification No.A/14/2018	
		dated 23.10.2018 for a period of two months.	
		In the meanwhile the respondents are directed to file	
		their counter within two weeks from today, enabling this	
		court to dispose of the main petition in accordance with	
		law.	
		$\overline{\text{MSM, J}}$	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.16762 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	25.10.2019	MSM,J	
		W.P No.16762 of 2019	
		Implead Smt. Chandralekha, member of	
		Udayasri Mahila Podupu Sangam as 4th respondent	
		and issue notice to 4th respondent, since she was	
		already appointed as Fair Price Shop dealer to Fair	
		Price Shop No.1285110 of Anantapuramu Urban and	
		Mandal.	
		However, the order impugned in the writ	
		petition is hereby suspended for a period of one	
		month, as the order was not passed by the	
		Committee constituted for the purpose of	
		recommending appointment of Fair Price Shop dealer	
		in Annexure II of Andhra Pradesh State Targeted	
		Public Distribution System (Control) Order, 2018.	
		Post after two weeks.	
		MSM, J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.1125 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	24.10.2019	MSM,J	
		Crl.R.C No.1125 of 2019	
		Admit and notice.	
		MSM, J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		This petition is filed under Section 397 (1) of	
		Criminal Procedure Code, to suspend the substantive	
		sentence of imprisonment and release the petitioner	
		on bail.	
		As seen from the record, the petitioner was	
		on bail throughout the trial and during pendency of	
		the appeal. Hence, I find it is a fit case to suspend	
		the substantive sentence of imprisonment in Crl.A	
		No.487 of 2017 on the file of XII Additional District	
		and Sessions Judge, Pithapuram.	
		The substantive sentence of imprisonment is	
		hereby suspended and the petitioner is ordered to be	
		released on bail on execution of his bond for	
		Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with	
		two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of	
		Assistant Sessions Judge, Pithapuram.	
		MSM, J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.15301 2019

DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
23.10.2019	MSM,J	
	W.P No.15301 of 2019	
	This writ petition is filed seeking writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent Nos.4 and 5 in deducting the labour cess @ 1% of the bills payable to the petitioner at the instance of respondent Nos.1 to 3 as illegal, arbitrary and in contravention of Memo No.636/Reforms-A1/2008 dated 08.06.2011 and in contravention of Building and other Construction Workers' Welfare Cess Act, 1996 and Rules made there under in agreement No.07/2014-15 dated 30.05.2014.  Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner	
	Panchayatraj.  Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj would submit that this matter is squarely covered by the orders of this Court passed in W.P Nos.2369 of 2019 and 2372 of 2019 and 10084 of 2018 wherein	
	In view of the similar facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be interim direction to the respondents not to deduct 1% labour cess from the bills of the petitioner unless the same has been included in the estimates.  Post after two weeks.	
	Rvk	
		W.P No.15301 of 2019  This writ petition is filed seeking writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent Nos.4 and 5 in deducting the labour cess @ 1% of the bills payable to the petitioner at the instance of respondent Nos.1 to 3 as illegal, arbitrary and in contravention of Memo No.636/Reforms-A1/2008 dated 08.06.2011 and in contravention of Building and other Construction Workers' Welfare Cess Act, 1996 and Rules made there under in agreement No.07/2014-15 dated 30.05.2014.  Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Panchayatraj.  Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj would submit that this matter is squarely covered by the orders of this Court passed in W.P Nos.2369 of 2019 and 2372 of 2019 and 10084 of 2018 wherein this Court granted interim direction.  In view of the similar facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be interim direction to the respondents not to deduct 1% labour cess from the bills of the petitioner unless the same has been included in the estimates.  Post after two weeks.

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.16537 2019

DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
23.10.2019	MSM,J	
	At request for filing counter, post on	
	04.11.2019.	
	Issue notice to the respondent Nos.3 and 4.	
	weeks.	
	MSM,J	
	Rvk	
		23.10.2019  MSM,J  At request for filing counter, post on 04.11.2019.  Issue notice to the respondent Nos.3 and 4.  The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice through RPAD and file proof of service in the Registry within two weeks.  MSM,J

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

# HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI MAIN CASE NO: W.P No.14389 2019

	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
23.10.2019	MSM,J	
	At request of Government Pleader for land	
	acquisition, for filing counter, post after two weeks.	
	It is brought to the notice of this Court that	
	the Registry.	
	MSM,J	
	Rvk	
	23.10.2019	At request of Government Pleader for land acquisition, for filing counter, post after two weeks.  It is brought to the notice of this Court that there is mistake in the cause title of 6th respondent, the Counsel for the petitioner is permitted to carry out the correction in the cause title, in the office of the Registry.  MSM,J

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.A S.R No.4489 2019

		NOTE
28.09.2019	MSM,J	
	I.A No.1 of 2019	
	Leave granted. The I.A is accordingly, ordered.	
	MSM,J	
	I.A No.2 of 2019	
	The I.A is accordingly, ordered.	
	MSM,J	
	Crl.A S.R No.4489 2019	
	Admit.	
	MSM,J	
	Rvk	
		Leave granted. The I.A is accordingly, ordered.  MSM,J  I.A No.2 of 2019  The I.A is accordingly, ordered.  MSM,J  Crl.A S.R No.4489 2019  Admit.

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: C.R.P No.2135 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	20.09.2019	MSM,J	
		I.A No.2 of 2019	
		Heard.	
		For the reasons, stated in the accompanying	
		affidavit filed in support of the petition, filing of	
		certified copy order and decree dated 25.06.2018 is	
		dispensed with.  The I.A is accordingly, ordered.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

#### MAIN CASE NO: Criminal Petition No.4595 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	28.09.2019	MSM, J	
		The main allegation against the Special Judge	
		for SPE & ACB cases at Nellore is that instead of	
		recording examination in chief of the Investigating	
		Officer, in the court, he took pen drive and the same	
		is inserted in the computer and got print out. But the	
		Counsel for the respondent, denied the allegation,	
		while contending that the chief examination of	
		Investigating Officer was commenced at 10.45 AM	
		and completed by 7 PM on 06.09.2018. Thus, the	
		assertion of the Counsel for the respondent is that	
		through out the day, only one witness was examined.	
		In view, of the specific contention raised	
		by the learned Counsel for the respondent, the	
		Registry is directed to call for report from the Special	
		Judge for SPE & ACB cases at Nellore, as to how	
		many witnesses were examined on 06.09.2018 and	
		how many documents were marked, based on the	
		dairy maintained in the office, within two weeks from	
		today.	
		List the matter on 14.10.2019.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

#### MAIN CASE NO: Transfer Criminal Petition No.818 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	28.09.2019	MSM, J	
		Notice.	
		The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the	
		respondent Nos.2 to 4 by registered post with	
		acknowledgment with due and file proof of service in	
		the Registry within three weeks.	
		Post after three weeks.	
		$\overline{\mathtt{MSM,J}}$	
		Rvk	
		IVK	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

# MAIN CASE NO: Criminal Appeal No.9695 OF 2018

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	28.09.2019	MSM, J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		Leave Granted.	
		MSM,J	
		Criminal Appeal No.9695 OF 2018	
		Issue notice to the respondent Nos.1 to 6.	
		The learned Counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the	
		respondent Nos.2 to 6 by registered post with	
		acknowledgment with due and file proof of service in	
		the Registry within three weeks.	
		Post after three weeks.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	
<u> </u>			

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

#### MAIN CASE NO: Criminal Petition No.1069 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	28.09.2019	MSM, J	
		Post the matter in usual course.	
		b/o Rvk	
		<u>I.A No.1 of 2019</u>	
		This petition under Section 397 (1) of	
		Criminal Procedure Code is filed to suspend the	
		substantive sentence of imprisonment and enlarge	
		this petitioner on bail.	
		The case of the petitioner is that, he was	
		found guilty for the offence punishable under Section	
		354 of Indian Penal Code (for short I.P.C) and	
		sentenced him to undergo simple imprisonment for a	
		period of two years and pay a fine of Rs.5,000/- for	
		the offence punishable under Section 354 of I.P.C.	
		The petitioner has paid the fine amount	
		before the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First	
		Class, Nandigama, at the time of filing appeal against	
		the conviction and sentence passed in C.C No.260 of	
		2017. The petitioner preferred an appeal in Crl.A	
		No.449 of 2017, challenging the conviction and	
		sentence passed by the Additional Judicial	
		Magistrate of First Class, Nandigama in C.C No.260	
		of 2017 and the sentence was suspended. Upon	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.0.		hearing argument of both the counsel, considering	1012
		the material available on record, the appellate court,	
		confirmed the conviction and sentence passed	
		against this petitioner.	
		It is the contention of the petitioner, that	
		there are good grounds to succeed in the main appeal	
		and requested to enlarge the petitioner, suspending	
		the conviction and sentence passed against this	
		petitioner.	
		Considering, the facts and circumstances of	
		the case, the substantive sentence and imprisonment	
		passed by the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First	
		Class, Nandigama in C.C No.260 of 2017 dated	
		27.11.2017 is hereby suspended. The petitioner shall	
		be enlarged on bail on his execution of bond for	
		Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two	
		sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of	
		Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class,	
		Nandigama.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

#### MAIN CASE NO: Criminal Petition No.1071 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	28.09.2019	MSM, J	
		Post the matter in usual course.	
		b/o Rvk	
		<u>I.A No.2 of 2019</u>	
		This petition under Section 397 (1) of	
		Criminal Procedure Code is filed to suspend the	
		substantive sentence of imprisonment and enlarge	
		this petitioner on bail.	
		The case of the petitioner is that, he was	
		found guilty for the offences punishable under	
		Sections 403, 420, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code	
		(for short I.P.C) and sentenced him to undergo simple	
		imprisonment for a period of six months simple	
		imprisonment for the offence punishable under	
		Section 403 of I.P.C, six months simple	
		imprisonment and Rs.500/- fine for the offence	
		punishable under Section 420 of I.P.C, six months	
		simple imprisonment and Rs.500/- fine for the	
		offence punishable under Section 468 of I.P.C and six	
		months simple imprisonment for the offence	
		punishable under Section 471 of I.P.C.	
		The petitioner has paid the fine amount	
		before the Judicial Magistrate of First Class,	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Srikakulam, at the time of filing appeal against the	
		conviction and sentence passed in C.C No.751 of	
		2014. The petitioner preferred an appeal in Crl.A	
		No.90 of 2016 challenging the conviction and	
		sentence passed by the Judicial Magistrate of First	
		Class, Srikakulam in C.C No.751 of 2014 and the	
		sentence was suspended. Upon hearing argument of	
		both the counsel, considering the material available	
		on record, the appellate court, confirmed the	
		conviction and sentence passed against this	
		petitioner.	
		It is the contention of the petitioner, that	
		there are good grounds to succeed in the main appeal	
		and requested to enlarge the petitioner, suspending	
		the conviction and sentence passed against this	
		petitioner.	
		Considering, the facts and circumstances of	
		the case, the substantive sentence and imprisonment	
		passed by the Judicial Magistrate of First Class,	
		Srikakulam in C.C No.751 of 2014 dated 07.09.2016	
		is hereby suspended. The petitioner shall be enlarged	
		on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.20,000/-	
		(Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties for a	
		like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial	
		Magistrate of First Class, Srikakulam.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

#### MAIN CASE NO: Criminal Petition No.4795 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	27.09.2019	MSM, J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioners is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		three weeks.	
		Post after three weeks.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.1000 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		At request, post on 24.09.2019.	
		b/o. Rvk	
2.	27.09.2019	MSM, J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 2nd	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		three weeks.	
		Post after vacation.	
		MSM,J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		There shall be interim suspension of order	
		dated 13.10.2017 C.C No.246 of 2017 passed by the	
		Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Bapatla	
		and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail	
		on execution of his bond for Rs.20,000/- (Rupees	
		Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties for a like	
		sum each to the satisfaction of Additional Judicial	
		Magistrate of First Class, Bapatla.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	l		1

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.932 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
	20.09.2019		
		No representation, post on 24.09.2019.	
		b/o. Rvk	
2.	24.09.2019	MSM,J	
		<u>I.A No.1 of 2019</u>	
		Heard.	
		For the reasons, stated in the accompanying	
		affidavit filed in support of the petition, the delay of	
		10 days in representing Crl.R.C.SR No.6434 of 2019	
		is condoned.	
		The I.A is accordingly, ordered.	
		MSM,J	
		I.A No.2 of 2019	
		Notice.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioners is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		four weeks.	
		List after four weeks.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

#### MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.999 OF 2019

SL.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	24.09.2019	NACONA A	NOIL
1.	24.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioners is	
		also permitted to take out personal notice to the 1st	
		respondent through RPAD and file proof of service in	
		the registry within three weeks.	
		List immediately after vacation.	
		MSM,J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		There shall be interim suspension of order in	
		Tr.Crl.M.P No.6 of 2019 dated 25.07.2019 on the file	
		of Principal District Judge, Ongole.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

www.ecourts	
www.ecourtsindia.com	
www.ecourtsindia.com	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.1003 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	24.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Issue notice.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioners is	
		also permitted to take out personal notice to the 1st	
		respondent through RPAD and file proof of service in	
		the registry within three weeks.	
		List immediately after vacation.	
		MSM,J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		There shall be interim suspension of order in	
		Tr.Crl.M.P No.6 of 2019 dated 25.07.2019 on the file	
		of Principal District Judge, Ongole.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

www.ecourts	
www.ecourtsindia.com	
www.ecourtsindia.com	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.1006 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	24.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 1st	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		three weeks.	
		List after three weeks.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.946 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 1st	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		three weeks.	
		List on 18.10.2019.	
		MSM, J	
		Rvk	

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.966 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		No representation, post on 18.10.2019.	
		b/o Rvk	

#### MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.958 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 2nd	
		respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within	
		three weeks.	
		List on 18.10.2019.	
		MSM, J	
		I.A No.1 of 2019	
		This petition, is allowed, subject to furnishing	
		certified copy of the order within one month. In the	
		event of failure to furnish certified copy, the main	
		revision shall be rejected automatically.	
		Deals MOM I	
		Rvk MSM, J	

www.ecourts	
www.ecourtsindia.com	
www.ecourtsindia.com	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.990 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the 1st	
		respondents by registered post with acknowledgment	
		due and file proof of service in the registry within three weeks.	
		List on 18.10.2019.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.991 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the	
		respondent Nos.1 to 9 by registered post with	
		acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the	
		registry within one week.	
		List on 01.10.2019.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.992 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice before admission.	
		The learned Government Pleader takes notice	
		on behalf of 3 <sup>rd</sup> respondent and seeks time to file	
		counter affidavit.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take out personal notice to the	
		respondents Nos.1 and 2 by registered post with	
		acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the	
		registry within three weeks.	
		List on 18.10.2019.	
		MSM,J	
		Rvk	

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.994 OF 2019

		OFFICE NOTE
23.09.2019	MSM,J	
	Rule nisi. Call for records.	
	Notice returnable in three weeks.	
	The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
	permitted to take out personal notice to 2 <sup>nd</sup> RPAD	
	respondent by registered post with acknowledgment	
	due and file proof of service in the registry within	
	three weeks.	
	List on 18.10.2019.	
	MSM,J	
	Rvk	
	23.09.2019	Rule nisi. Call for records.  Notice returnable in three weeks.  The learned counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice to 2 <sup>nd</sup> RPAD respondent by registered post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the registry within three weeks.  List on 18.10.2019.

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.996 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J	
		Notice.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner is also	
		permitted to take notice to the counsel appearing for	
		the respondent/complainant in Crl.M.P No.972 of	
		2019 in C.C No.105 of 2019 on the file of Judicial	
		Magistrate of First Class, Railway Kodur.	
		List on 30.09.2019.	
		MSM,J	
		RVK	

# www.ecounsindia.com

# **HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI**

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.957 OF 2019

1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J
		I.A No.1 of 2019
		This petition, is allowed, subject to furnishing
		certified copy of the order within one month. In the
		event of failure to furnish certified copy, the main
		revision shall be rejected automatically.
		Rvk MSM, J
		I.A No.2 of 2019
		This petition is filed to suspend the orders passed
		by the III Additional Judicial Magistrate of First
		Class, Ongole in DVC No.19 of 2014 and confirmed
		by the appellate court i.e. VII Additional District &
		Sessions Judge, Ongole in Crl. Appeal No.107 of
		2016, whereby the petitioner was directed to pay
		maintenance @ Rs.5,000/- per month and pay
		compensation of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh
		only).
		Considering the facts and circumstances of
		the case, the order to the extent of payment of half of
		the compensation is suspended for a period of one
		month, while directing the petitioner to pay
		maintenance as directed by the trial court in DVC
		No.19 of 2014 and Rs.50,000/- towards
		compensation to the respondent, within two weeks

from the date of order. In the event of failure to comply any of the directions of the order, the petition shall stand dismissed automatically. The counsel for the petitioner herein is permitted to furnish a copy of the order before the III Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ongole. MSM, J Rvk Note: Issue C.C by tomorrow. B/O Řvk

MAIN CASE NO: Crl.R.C No.1011 OF 2019

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	23.09.2019	MSM,J  Notice before admission.  The learned counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice to the respondents 1 to 3 through RPAD and file proof of service in the registry within two weeks.  List on 18.10.2019.	
		RVK  MSM,J	

#### THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.V.S.S. SOMAYAJULU

Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments?

Yes/No

Whether the copies of judgment may be Marked to Law Reporters/Journals?

Yes/No

3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish To see the fair copy of the Judgment?

Yes/No

Copyist