

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE



PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY
AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 2608 OF 2023

Between:

Shaik Shakeela, W/o. S. Basha, Muslim, 39 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o.19-4-139,
STV Nagar, Tirupati, Tirupati District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of AP, Represented by its Principal Secretary to Government,
General Administration (SC.I) Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi,
Amaravathi.
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, Tirupati, Tirupati District.
3. The Superintendent of Jail, Central Prison, Kadapa, Y.S.R. Kadapa District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue, a writ of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents to produce the detainee by name ShaikFairoz @ Fyru, s/o. S. Basha, aged about 21 years, R/o Door No.19-4-139, STV Nagar, Tirupati, Tirupati District before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty by declaring the detention order dated 01.04.2022 issued in REV-CSECOPDL(PRC)3/2022-D.TH(C7) passed by the 2nd respondent including all consequential orders as illegal and arbitrary.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI MAHADEVA KANTHRIGALA

Counsel for the Respondents: THE ADDL ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2608 of 2023

ORDER:- *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Cheekati Manavendranath Roy)*

This writ petition is filed challenging the legal validity of the impugned order of preventive detention passed against the detenu.

2. At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the period of detention has been completed and the detenu was already released from the jail.

3. Learned Government Pleader also would submit on instructions that the period of detention has been completed and the detenu was already released from the jail.

4. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in this writ petition for adjudication.

5. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, in the Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- SHAIK MOHD. RAFI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

[Signature]
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Mahadeva Kanthrigala, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to The Addl. Advocate General, High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati [OPUC]
3. Three C.D. Copies

Cnr

[Signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/09/2023

ORDER

WP.No.2608 of 2023



6 copies
4 vs
18/10/23.

**DISMISSING THE W.P.
WITHOUT COSTS**