www.ecourtsindia.com

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI (Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF JANUARY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

### **PRESENT**

# THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE K. MANMADHA RAO

**WRIT PETITION NO: 2888 OF 2021** 

### Between:

Dr.S.V.Rama Murthy, S/o Late S.V.Prasada Rao, Aged about 56 years, R/o. Saluru, Vizayanagaram District.

...PETITIONER

## **AND**

- 1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principle Secretary, Medical and Health Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi Mandal, Guntur District.
- 2. The Andhra Pradesh, Vaidya Vidhana Parishat, Gollapudi, Vijayawada.
- 3. The Director of Public Health and Famiily Welfare, Gollapudi, Vijayawada.

#### ...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ, order or orders, direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Vaidya Vidhana Parishat in not completing the selection of civil surgeon(paediatric) is illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the Vaidya Vidhana Parishat to complete the selection of promotion process for the post of CS(P).

# IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court

may be pleased to direct the vaidya Vidhana Parishat to from DPC and take steps to promote the petitioner as Civil surgeon( Paediatrician).

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI P. BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES III

The Court made the following: ORDER

APHC010038632021



# IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

[3310]

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

### **PRESENT**

### THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

**WRIT PETITION NO: 2888/2021** 

Between:

Dr.s.v.rama Murthy,

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR SERVICES III

The Court made the following:

## ORDER:

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, Ms.K.V.Ramani, learned counsel, representing Mr.P.Bhaskar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

2. Recording the above submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stands closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- P.U.V.BHASKAR RAO ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. One CC to Sri P. Bhaskar, Advocate [OPUC]
- 2. Two CCs to GP for Services III, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
- 3. Three C.D. Copies.

Cnr

**HIGH COURT** 

DATED:02/01/2025

ORDER WP.No.2888 of 2021



DISMISSING THE W.P. AS INFRUCUTOUS WITHOUT COSTS